



ENHANCING LITERARY ANALYSIS SKILLS THROUGH AI-POWERED READING APPS: A CASE STUDY ON ENGLISH LITERATURE STUDENTS

¹Annisa Meilyani, ²Hesty Widiastuty

Institut Agama Islam Negeri Palangka Raya

Keywords:

AI-powered reading applications,
Literary analysis skills, English
Literature education, Critical Thinking

***Correspondence Address:**

Email: <mailto:meilyaniannisa@gmail.com>

Abstract: This study examines the impact of AI-powered reading applications on the literary analysis skills of English Literature students. With the increasing integration of artificial intelligence in educational technology, these reading apps offer a range of interactive features that may support students in interpreting and analyzing texts. Using a qualitative case study approach, this research involved 10-15 students who regularly use AI-powered reading apps. Data was collected through semi-structured interviews, observations, and analysis of students' work, focusing on their engagement with app features that support critical reading and analysis. The findings reveal the ways AI-driven features—such as interactive annotations, contextual summaries, and analytical prompts—help students improve their interpretive skills. Additionally, this study highlights challenges, including over-reliance on automated interpretations and occasional limitations in app-generated insights. The results contribute valuable insights for educators seeking to integrate AI-driven tools in literature education and suggest practical recommendations for enhancing students' analytical proficiency through technology.

INTRODUCTION

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into various educational domains has brought significant advancements in teaching and learning processes Pedro et al. (2019). Among these, the study of English literature has been particularly enriched through the use of AI-powered reading applications Vadivel et al. (2023). These applications utilize advanced algorithms to analyze texts, offer contextual explanations, and provide tools that support critical engagement with literary works Jockers (2013). As English literature is inherently interpretive and subjective, it presents a unique challenge for students to develop skills in critical analysis, thematic exploration, and textual interpretation Wardle (2017). AI-powered reading apps

address some of these challenges by providing interactive features such as automated annotations, thematic insights, and analytical prompts Giri (2024). These tools are designed to assist students in understanding complex texts, identifying literary devices, and engaging with texts on a deeper level Glass (2015). For instance, interactive annotations allow readers to focus on specific details, while contextual summaries help in understanding historical or cultural backgrounds Buehl (2023). Furthermore, the analytical prompts encourage reflective thinking, facilitating the development of well-rounded arguments and interpretations Beavers et al. (2017). However, the use of such applications also raises questions about their limitations and possible drawbacks Chen et al. (2014). Concerns such as the over-reliance on AI-generated content and the potential for misinterpretation highlight the need for a critical examination of their role in education Zhai et al. (2024). While AI can enhance efficiency and accessibility, it is essential to understand its impact on students' independent thinking and analytical growth Pedro et al. (2019). This study seeks to explore these dimensions, focusing specifically on English Literature students, to evaluate how AI-powered reading apps influence their literary analysis skills Vadivel et al. (2023). The findings of this study aim to inform educators and developers about the effective integration of AI in literature education Pedro et al. (2019). By identifying the strengths and limitations of these tools, the research seeks to contribute practical insights for improving student outcomes and advancing the pedagogical use of technology in the humanities McKnight et al. (2016).

The study of literature is inherently complex, requiring students to navigate themes, symbols, and narratives while engaging with historical, cultural, and philosophical contexts Murphy (2024). Many students struggle with these challenges, especially when dealing with dense or archaic texts Bunch et al. (2014). AI-powered reading applications offer a potential solution by simplifying these processes, providing immediate access to contextual information, and guiding students in identifying key elements of literary works Ademola (2024). For example, features like interactive annotations help pinpoint literary devices, while contextual summaries clarify the significance of events and characters within broader frameworks Estrada et al. (2017). Moreover, these tools encourage critical engagement by offering analytical prompts that provoke deeper reflection on themes, symbols, and authorial intent Tracy (2024). This approach not only enhances comprehension but also supports students in developing structured arguments for essays and discussions Murphy et al. (2018). As a result, AI-powered reading apps have the potential to bridge gaps in understanding and foster a more accessible and engaging approach to studying literature Vadivel et al. (2023). Despite their benefits, these

applications are not without limitations. Concerns about over-reliance on AI tools, inaccuracies in app-generated insights, and the potential reduction in creative thinking underscore the need for a balanced integration of technology in literature education Qodri (2023). This study explores both the opportunities and challenges associated with AI-powered reading applications, focusing on their impact on the literary analysis skills of English Literature students Fraidan (2024). By examining the experiences of students who use these tools, the research seeks to provide valuable insights for educators, students, and developers, ultimately contributing to a more effective and innovative approach to literature education Haleem et al. (2022).

RESEARCH METHODS

A qualitative case study approach was employed to examine the impact of AI-powered reading apps on the literary analysis skills of English Literature students. The study involved 10-15 participants, all undergraduate students who regularly used such applications. Data collection methods included semi-structured interviews, direct observations, and an analysis of students' literary work.

Semi-structured interviews: Students shared their experiences using AI-powered apps, focusing on how specific features influenced their understanding and interpretation of texts.

Observations: The researchers observed participants' interactions with the apps during reading sessions to understand how the tools were utilized in real-time.

Work analysis: Samples of students' essays and analyses were examined to assess improvements in critical thinking and textual interpretation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal the multifaceted impact of AI-powered reading applications on English Literature students. On the one hand, the tools provide significant advantages by enhancing engagement, simplifying complex concepts, and fostering a structured approach to textual analysis. On the other hand, they also present certain challenges that educators and students must navigate to maximize their effectiveness.

One of the most significant advantages of these applications lies in their ability to make complex literary texts more accessible. For instance, features like contextual summaries offer students a quick understanding of historical and cultural contexts that might otherwise require extensive research. This enables students to focus their efforts on deeper aspects of literary

analysis, such as exploring themes, symbolism, and character development. Similarly, interactive annotations help in identifying literary devices, such as metaphors and allegories, which are crucial for understanding an author's intent. These features collectively empower students to approach texts with greater confidence and insight. However, the study also highlights potential risks associated with the use of these applications. Over-reliance on AI tools can hinder students' ability to think critically and independently. For example, students who depend too heavily on AI-generated analytical prompts may struggle to develop their interpretations and arguments. Furthermore, inaccuracies or oversimplifications in app-generated content can lead to misunderstandings, particularly when dealing with complex texts that require nuanced analysis.

Another concern is the potential loss of creativity and originality in students' work. Literary analysis is a subjective and interpretive process, requiring students to engage with texts in a way that reflects their unique perspectives. While AI-powered tools can provide structured insights, they may inadvertently limit the scope of creative exploration by steering students toward predefined interpretations. This underscores the need for educators to emphasize the importance of balancing AI-assisted learning with independent thought.

To address these challenges, it is essential to adopt a hybrid approach that combines the strengths of AI-powered tools with traditional pedagogical methods. Educators can play a crucial role by guiding students in using these applications effectively, encouraging them to question and critically evaluate AI-generated content. Workshops and training sessions on the appropriate use of technology in literature studies can further support this goal. Additionally, app developers must strive to improve the accuracy and depth of their tools, ensuring that they complement rather than replace human analysis.

Ultimately, the use of AI-powered reading apps represents a valuable addition to literature education. When integrated thoughtfully, these tools can enhance students' analytical skills and engagement with texts, preparing them for more advanced studies and professional applications. By addressing the challenges identified in this study, educators and developers can ensure that AI continues to serve as a powerful ally in the pursuit of academic excellence. The study yielded detailed insights into the effectiveness and challenges of using AI-powered reading applications to enhance literary analysis skills among English Literature students. The findings are categorized into two main areas: benefits and challenges.g

Benefits

1. Improved Textual Comprehension

Many students reported that the contextual summaries provided by the applications significantly improved their understanding of complex texts. These summaries highlighted essential background information, such as historical settings, cultural contexts, and authorial intent, allowing students to grasp the broader significance of literary works.

For example, students analyzing Shakespearean plays noted that the AI apps helped clarify obscure language and provided accessible interpretations of key passages.

2. Enhanced Analytical Skills

The interactive annotation features enabled students to identify and understand literary devices like metaphors, symbolism, and foreshadowing with greater ease. This, in turn, improved their ability to craft well-informed arguments in their essays and discussions.

Analytical prompts encouraged students to consider alternative perspectives, fostering deeper engagement with the texts and promoting critical thinking.

3. Increased Engagement and Efficiency

The interactive nature of the applications made the reading process more engaging, especially for students who struggled with traditional methods of literary analysis.

Features like automated text segmentation and keyword highlights allowed students to quickly locate important sections, making their study sessions more efficient.

Challenges

1. Over-reliance on AI Tools

A significant portion of participants admitted that they relied heavily on AI-generated content, such as pre-structured analyses and interpretations, which limited their ability to develop independent critical thinking skills.

For instance, students often used app-generated interpretations in their essays without questioning the validity or depth of these insights.

2. Limitations in AI-Generated Content

Some students pointed out that the applications occasionally produced overly simplistic or inaccurate analyses. These shortcomings were particularly evident in texts with ambiguous or complex themes, where human interpretation is essential.

For example, one participant noted that an app misinterpreted the symbolic meaning of a character in a modernist novel, leading to confusion during class discussions.

3. Reduced Creativity

The structured nature of AI-generated prompts and annotations sometimes stifled students' creative engagement with the texts. This was especially problematic for tasks requiring original interpretations or unconventional approaches to analysis.

Students expressed concerns that relying on these tools might reduce their capacity to think outside the box or explore unique perspectives.

4. Examples from Student Work

Analysis of students' essays and assignments revealed clear evidence of improvement in their analytical skills when using AI-powered reading apps. Essays showed stronger structural coherence, with well-supported arguments that drew on insights provided by the applications. However, in some cases, the work reflected a lack of originality, as students had relied too heavily on app-generated ideas.

Overall Impact

The findings suggest that AI-powered reading applications are highly effective in supporting literary analysis when used appropriately. They help bridge gaps in comprehension, enhance analytical capabilities, and boost engagement. However, to maximize their benefits, students must use these tools as supplements rather than replacements for independent critical thinking. Educators and developers must address the challenges highlighted in this study to ensure these tools contribute meaningfully to students' academic growth.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

The integration of AI-powered reading applications into English literature education offers substantial benefits for enhancing students' literary analysis skills. These tools, with features such as contextual summaries, interactive annotations, and analytical prompts, improve comprehension, critical thinking, and engagement with complex texts. They make literature more accessible and help students develop well-structured and informed interpretations. However, challenges such as over-reliance on AI tools, occasional inaccuracies in app-generated content, and reduced opportunities for creative engagement highlight the need for thoughtful integration. While these applications are valuable, their use must be balanced with strategies that promote independent analysis and critical thinking to ensure meaningful academic growth.

To maximize the benefits of AI-powered reading applications, several steps are recommended. Educators should use these tools as supplements rather than primary resources

in their curriculum, designing activities that encourage students to critically evaluate app-generated insights and develop their interpretations. Workshops and training sessions can guide students on using these technologies effectively without undermining their creativity and analytical skills. Students should balance the insights provided by these applications with their analyses, ensuring a deeper and more original engagement with literary texts. Developers are encouraged to improve the accuracy and depth of AI-generated content while including features that support creative exploration, such as alternative prompts or comparative analysis tools. Future research could further investigate the long-term impacts of these applications on students' critical thinking and explore their applicability across diverse educational contexts. By addressing these recommendations, stakeholders can ensure that AI-powered reading tools contribute meaningfully to literature education, fostering a balanced and effective learning experience.

REFERENCES

- Pedro, F., Subosa, M., Rivas, A., & Valverde, P. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development.
- Vadivel, B., Shaban, A. A., Ahmed, Z. A., & Saravanan, B. (2023). Unlocking English Proficiency: Assessing the Influence of AI-Powered Language Learning Apps on Young Learners' Language Acquisition. *International Journal of English Language, Education and Literature Studies*, 2(6).
- Jockers, M. L. (2013). *Macroanalysis: Digital methods and literary history*. University of Illinois Press.
- Wardle, D. M. (2017). Teacher experiences of critical thinking using supernaturally themed novels: Implications for contemporary middle school classrooms.
- Giri, R. C. (2024). Rapid AI: An AI Application for Thematic Analysis and Enhanced Qualitative Research.
- Glass, K. T. (2015). *Complex text decoded: How to design lessons and use strategies that target authentic texts*. ASCD.
- Buehl, D. (2023). *Classroom strategies for interactive learning*. Routledge.
- Beavers, E., Orange, A., & Kirkwood, D. (2017). Fostering critical and reflective thinking in an authentic learning situation. *Journal of Early Childhood Teacher Education*, 38(1), 3-18.
- Chen, C. P., & Zhang, C. Y. (2014). Data-intensive applications, challenges, techniques and technologies: A survey on Big Data. *Information sciences*, 275, 314-347.
- Zhai, C., Wibowo, S., & Li, L. D. (2024). The effects of over-reliance on AI dialogue systems on students' cognitive abilities: a systematic review. *Smart Learning Environments*, 11(1), 28.
- Pedro, F., Subosa, M., Rivas, A., & Valverde, P. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development.



- Vadivel, B., Shaban, A. A., Ahmed, Z. A., & Saravanan, B. (2023). Unlocking English Proficiency: Assessing the Influence of AI-Powered Language Learning Apps on Young Learners' Language Acquisition. *International Journal of English Language, Education and Literature Studies*, 2(6).
- Pedro, F., Subosa, M., Rivas, A., & Valverde, P. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development.
- McKnight, K., O'Malley, K., Ruzic, R., Horsley, M. K., Franey, J. J., & Bassett, K. (2016). Teaching in a digital age: How educators use technology to improve student learning. *Journal of research on technology in education*, 48(3), 194-211.
- Murphy, D. D. (2024). Child and Adolescent Literature: An Exploration.
- Bunch, G. C., Walqui, A., & Pearson, P. D. (2014). Complex text and new common standards in the United States: Pedagogical implications for English learners. *Tesol Quarterly*, 48(3), 533-559.
- Ademola, O. E. (2024). Reading Strategies in the AI Age: Enhancing Comprehension and Engagement with Advanced Technologies. In *Proceedings of the 38th iSTEAMS Multidisciplinary Bespoke Conference*.
- Estrada, L. M., Hielscher, E., Koolen, M., Olesen, C. G., Noordegraaf, J., & Blom, J. (2017). Film analysis as annotation: Exploring current tools. *Moving Image: The Journal of the Association of Moving Image Archivists*, 17(2), 40-70.
- Tracy, S. J. (2024). *Qualitative research methods: Collecting evidence, crafting analysis, communicating impact*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Murphy, P. K., Greene, J. A., Firetto, C. M., Hendrick, B. D., Li, M., Montalbano, C., & Wei, L. (2018). Quality talk: Developing students' discourse to promote high-level comprehension. *American Educational Research Journal*, 55(5), 1113-1160.
- Vadivel, B., Shaban, A. A., Ahmed, Z. A., & Saravanan, B. (2023). Unlocking English Proficiency: Assessing the Influence of AI-Powered Language Learning Apps on Young Learners' Language Acquisition. *International Journal of English Language, Education and Literature Studies*, 2(6).
- Qodri, A. N. (2023). *Documenting Realities of a Multilingual Family with Autism Spectrum Disorders in Using a Behavior Logging App: A Linguistic Ethnographic Case Study* (Doctoral dissertation, Arizona State University).
- Al Fraidan, A. (2024). Integrating AI-Powered Library Systems to Enhance Research and Learning in English Language Departments: A Case Study of Faculty and Student Perceptions. *Library Progress International*, 44(3), 12058-12065.
- hHaleem, A., Javaid, M., Qadri, M. A., & Suman, R. (2022). Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. *Sustainable operations and computers*, 3, 275-285.
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies*. W.W. Norton & Company.
- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education*. Pearson Education.
- Mayer, R. E. (2020). *Multimedia Learning* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.



- Moxley, J. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Writing Instruction: Fostering Student Agency and Engagement. *Computers and Composition*, 55, 102568.
- Reeves, T. C., & Lin, L. (2020). The Research We Have Is Not the Research We Need. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68(1), 99–110.
- Warschauer, M., & Grimes, D. (2007). Automated Writing Assessment in the Classroom. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*, 2(1), 1-20.
- Zhang, H., & Zou, D. (2020). Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Education: Current Trends and Future Directions. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 28(4), 1-18.
- Kukulska-Hulme, A., Norris, L., & Donohue, J. (2015). *Mobile Pedagogy for English Language Teaching: A Guide for Teachers*. British Council.
- Fischer, F., Kollar, I., & Slotta, J. D. (2020). AI-Supported Collaborative Learning: Insights and Challenges. *Educational Psychologist*, 55(2), 93-107.
- Ng, W. (2015). *New Digital Technology in Education: Conceptualizing Professional Learning for Educators*. Springer.
- Boud, D., & Molloy, E. (2013). Rethinking Models of Feedback for Learning: The Challenge of Design. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 38(6), 698–712.
- Wiliam, D. (2011). *Embedded Formative Assessment*. Solution Tree Press.
- Godwin-Jones, R. (2019). Automation and Autonomy in Language Learning. *Language Learning & Technology*, 23(2), 1-15.
- VanLehn, K. (2011). The Relative Effectiveness of Human Tutoring, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, and Other Tutoring Systems. *Educational Psychologist*, 46(4), 197-221.
- Gee, J. P. (2007). *Good Video Games and Good Learning: Collected Essays on Video Games, Learning, and Literacy*. Peter Lang.