International Proceeding
Universitas Tulungagung
2025

FISCAL DEPENDENCE AND CHALLENGES TO REGIONAL AUTONOMY: ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF FINANCIAL DECENTRALIZATION IN INDONESIA

Ahmad Zainuri[1]*, R. Ira Irawati[2], Budiman Rusli[3], and Rita Myrna[4]

[1] University of Serang Raya, Indonesia
[2,3,4] Padjadjaran University, Indonesia
*zainuri2007.2@gmail.com

Abstract

This study critically examines fiscal dependency and regional autonomy within Indonesia's fiscal decentralization framework, focusing on developments from 2020 to 2025 and the implications of Law No. 1 of 2022. Employing a mixed-methods approach, the research combines quantitative analysis of regional fiscal data with qualitative insights from interviews with policymakers and financial officials. Findings reveal that despite regulatory reforms aimed at enhancing local revenue generation and fiscal autonomy, most regions remain heavily reliant on central government transfers, with local revenues contributing a limited share of regional budgets. Key factors sustaining this dependency include structural economic disparities, administrative capacity constraints, regulatory complexities, and uneven adoption of digital financial management systems. The study highlights that while progressive regions demonstrate innovation and improved fiscal performance, many others face significant challenges in adapting to new fiscal policies and technologies. This research contributes to the broader discourse on fiscal federalism by integrating the role of digital transformation in regional financial management and providing evidence-based recommendations. These include strengthening local economic bases, fostering institutional capacity, improving policy coherence, and accelerating digitalization efforts. The findings have important implications for policymakers seeking to enhance fiscal decentralization outcomes and for scholars interested in the dynamics of subnational fiscal governance in developing countries.

Keywords: *fiscal decentralization, fiscal dependency, regional autonomy, digital financial management, Indonesia*

INTRODUCTION

Fiscal decentralization has become a cornerstone of Indonesia's governance reforms since the early 2000s, reflecting a global movement toward devolving fiscal authority to subnational governments(Negara & Hutchinson, 2021). The underlying rationale is that empowering regional governments to manage their own finances will enhance the efficiency, responsiveness, and equity of public service delivery(Chisanga et al., 2023). This approach is consistent with international best practices, as seen in countries such as Brazil, India, and South Africa, where fiscal decentralization has been leveraged to address regional disparities and strengthen local governance(Nirola et al., 2022).

Indonesia's journey toward fiscal decentralization began with the enactment of Law No. 22 of 1999 on Regional Government and Law No. 25 of 1999 on Fiscal Balance between Central and Local Governments(Maharjan, 2024). These foundational laws were subsequently refined through Law No. 23 of 2014 and, most recently, Law No. 1 of 2022 concerning the Relationship between Central and Regional Finances. The primary objective of these reforms is to grant regional governments greater fiscal autonomy, enabling them to tailor public services to local needs and potentials(Iwan & Arisman, 2023). At the same time, the reforms aim to ensure fiscal discipline and reduce regional inequalities through a more transparent and formula-based system of intergovernmental transfers.

The significance of fiscal decentralization extends beyond Indonesia's borders. Globally, fiscal decentralization is recognized as a means to promote participatory governance, stimulate local economic development, and improve the allocation of public resources. International organizations such as the World Bank and the OECD have highlighted the potential of decentralization to foster innovation in public service delivery, enhance government accountability, and strengthen democratic institutions(Muhdiarta, 2025). In developing countries, where regional disparities are often pronounced, fiscal decentralization is particularly important for promoting inclusive growth and reducing poverty.

Indonesia's experience is especially relevant in the international context due to its vast geographic expanse and socio-economic diversity. With over 500 subnational governments, Indonesia provides a unique case for examining the complexities and challenges of implementing fiscal decentralization in a large, heterogeneous nation. Insights from Indonesia's reforms can inform decentralization efforts in other countries facing similar challenges of diversity and scale(Hummel & Kusumasari, 2025).

Despite more than two decades of reform, empirical evidence indicates that many Indonesian regions remain highly dependent on central government transfers, such as the General Allocation Fund (DAU), Special Allocation Fund (DAK), and Revenue Sharing Fund (DBH). On average, over 70% of regional budgets are sourced from these transfers, with only a small proportion derived from local revenues (Pendapatan Asli Daerah/PAD). This persistent fiscal dependency undermines the core objectives of decentralization, limiting the capacity of regional governments to act independently and respond effectively to local needs(Retno Fitrianti; Mirzalina Zaenal; Sanusi Fattah; Nurul Hidayah, 2025).

Previous research has identified several factors contributing to this dependency, including structural disparities in economic resources, weak local tax administration, limited human resource capacity, and regulatory constraints on the development of new revenue sources. Additionally, challenges in synchronizing development planning and budgeting, as well as the slow adoption of digital financial management systems, have further constrained regional fiscal autonomy. While the international literature on fiscal decentralization acknowledges similar challenges in other developing countries, there is a notable lack of recent, comprehensive studies examining the impact of Indonesia's latest regulatory reforms, particularly Law No. 1 of 2022, on regional fiscal capacity and autonomy(Ogweno & Semedo, 2025).

This study addresses these gaps by providing an up-to-date, empirically grounded analysis of fiscal dependency and regional autonomy in Indonesia, with a particular focus on the implications of Law No. 1 of 2022. The novelty of this research lies in its examination of the immediate effects and implementation challenges of the new regulatory framework, which introduces significant changes to the fiscal transfer system and local revenue policies. Unlike previous studies that have primarily focused on the early stages of decentralization or aggregate fiscal outcomes, this research explores the evolving dynamics of fiscal autonomy under the new legal regime, considering both structural and operational factors(Suhartono et al., 2025).

Furthermore, this study incorporates the role of digital transformation in regional financial management, a dimension that has gained increasing importance in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic and the global shift toward e-governance. By analyzing the interplay between regulatory change, technological innovation, and local institutional capacity, the research offers a comprehensive perspective on the determinants of regional fiscal autonomy(Jumaiyah et al., 2025).

Theoretically, this study advances the discourse on fiscal federalism by highlighting the complex interdependencies between central and regional governments in a decentralized system. Practically, it provides actionable recommendations for policymakers and practitioners to enhance local revenue generation, improve regulatory compliance, and accelerate the adoption of digital solutions in public financial management(Olaide et al., 2025).

The primary objective of this article is to critically assess the effectiveness of Indonesia's fiscal decentralization in promoting regional fiscal autonomy and reducing dependency on central government transfers. The study is guided by the following research questions:

1. To what extent has fiscal decentralization, particularly following the introduction of Law No. 1 of 2022, enhanced regional fiscal autonomy in Indonesia?
2. What are the main factors contributing to the continued fiscal dependency of regional governments?
3. How have regulatory changes and digitalization initiatives influenced the capacity of regions to generate own-source revenues and manage public finances more effectively?
4. What policy interventions can further strengthen regional fiscal independence and accountability?

By addressing these questions, the study aims to elucidate the multifaceted nature of fiscal dependency and identify practical pathways for fostering greater financial self-reliance at the regional level.

The importance of this research is underscored by its potential to inform both national and international debates on decentralization and public financial management. For Indonesia, achieving genuine regional fiscal autonomy is essential for realizing broader development goals, such as reducing inequality, fostering inclusive growth, and strengthening democratic governance. Internationally, the findings contribute to comparative analyses of decentralization reforms in other developing and emerging economies, offering valuable lessons on best practices and potential pitfalls(Ogweno & Semedo, 2025).

In the context of ongoing global economic uncertainties and the increasing importance of local resilience, the ability of subnational governments to mobilize and manage their own resources has become more critical than ever. The study's focus on digital financial management also aligns with global trends toward e-government, transparency, and citizen engagement in public administration(Mello, 2020).

This research encompasses a comprehensive analysis of fiscal dependency and regional autonomy in Indonesia from 2020 to 2025, with particular emphasis on the period following the implementation of Law No. 1 of 2022. The study examines key aspects of regional financial management, including revenue composition, expenditure patterns, regulatory compliance, and the adoption of digital technologies. By selecting a representative sample of regions with varying fiscal profiles, the research captures both common challenges and unique circumstances faced by different types of subnational governments(Kamal et al., 2025).

In summary, this article seeks to bridge the gap between the aspirations of fiscal decentralization and the realities of regional financial governance in Indonesia. By illuminating the persistent challenges of fiscal dependency and proposing practical solutions for enhancing local fiscal autonomy, the study aims to contribute to both scholarly discourse and the ongoing efforts of policymakers and practitioners in the field of public finance.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The theoretical foundation of fiscal decentralization rests primarily on the principles of fiscal federalism. (Ogweno & Semedo, 2025)was among the first to articulate the argument that devolving financial authority to subnational governments can result in more efficient allocation of resources, better matching of public services to local preferences, and enhanced government accountability. This theoretical framework has since been supported and expanded by numerous studies, both in developed and developing contexts (Dzagah et al., 2025; Torres-sandoval et al., 2025).

Over the past two decades, a substantial body of literature has examined the impacts, challenges, and opportunities of fiscal decentralization in various country settings. Early comparative analyses, such as those by (Dzagah et al., 2025; Ogweno & Semedo, 2025; Torres-sandoval et al., 2025), provided evidence that decentralization can foster innovation and responsiveness in public service delivery, particularly when local governments have the capacity and incentives to manage resources effectively. Further argued that the effectiveness of fiscal decentralization is highly contingent on the degree of local fiscal autonomy, especially the ability of subnational governments to mobilize and manage their own-source revenues (Serhiy Lyeonov, 2025).

Fiscal Autonomy and Local Revenue Generation

A recurrent theme in the literature is the centrality of local revenue generation to the success of decentralization. (Kyaw Soe, 2024; Serhiy Lyeonov, 2025)found that regions with higher proportions of own-source revenue relative to total expenditure tend to exhibit greater fiscal discipline and developmental outcomes. However, in many developing countries, and especially in Indonesia, regional fiscal autonomy remains constrained by the limited capacity to mobilize local revenu(Aritenang & Chandramidi, 2023). This is attributed to structural factors such as disparities in economic potential, the narrowness of the local tax base, and administrative inefficiencies (He et al., 2024).

Empirical studies focused on Indonesia reveal similar patterns. For example, (Zarkasi et al., 2024)noted that despite the formal transfer of revenue-raising authority to local governments, the actual contribution of Pendapatan Asli Daerah (PAD, or local own-source revenue) to regional budgets is often less than 20 percent. (Aritenang & Chandramidi, 2023) observed that many districts and municipalities still rely on central government transfers for more than 70 percent of their total revenue. Such dependency limits the discretion and flexibility of regional governments in planning and implementing development programs(Surono & Hadinata, 2020).

Regulatory Compliance, Fiscal Planning, and Governance

Another important strand of the literature concerns the role of regulatory frameworks and institutional arrangements in shaping fiscal outcomes. (Rifaldi, 2024)demonstrated that the effectiveness of fiscal decentralization in Indonesia is heavily influenced by the clarity and consistency of central-local fiscal regulations. Ambiguities in the legal framework or frequent changes in rules can create uncertainty, discourage revenue innovation, and complicate budget planning at the regional level (Iriani et al., 2024).

Governance quality, including the transparency and accountability of budget processes, is also critical. (Dorasamy, 2024) argued that stronger institutional checks and balances, as well as independent oversight, are prerequisites for achieving fiscal sustainability and reducing the risk of mismanagement. In Indonesia, (Teremetskyi et al., 2021)found significant variation in the quality of financial planning and compliance across regions, often reflecting differences in local leadership, administrative capacity, and political will.

Digital Transformation in Public Financial Management

Recent literature has highlighted the transformative potential of digital technologies in public financial management (PFM). The adoption of digital platforms for budgeting, expenditure tracking, and reporting has been shown to improve transparency, reduce opportunities for corruption, and enable more timely and accurate financial information (Celestin, 2025; Sari & Muslim, 2023). In the Indonesian context, (Elizabeth et al., 2025) documented the positive effects of e-government initiatives on the efficiency and accountability of regional financial management systems.

Nevertheless, the literature also points to significant implementation challenges. Many regions, particularly those outside Java and other major urban centers, face constraints related to digital infrastructure, staff training, and integration of new systems with legacy practices(Elizabeth et al., 2025). These challenges can undermine the potential benefits of digital transformation and perpetuate disparities in fiscal management capacity.

Recent Regulatory Developments

A notable recent development in Indonesia’s fiscal decentralization landscape is the enactment of Law No. 1 of 2022 on the Relationship between Central and Regional Finances. This law aims to recalibrate the intergovernmental fiscal transfer system, provide greater incentives for local revenue generation, and clarify the rules governing fiscal relationships between the central government and subnational entities (Hummel & Kusumasari, 2025; Retno Fitrianti; Mirzalina Zaenal; Sanusi Fattah; Nurul Hidayah, 2025).

Early analyses suggest that while the new law introduces several positive innovations, such as performance-based transfers and strengthened fiscal equalization, its practical impact will depend on effective implementation and the capacity of local governments to adapt to new requirements (Capano & Elias de Oliveira, 2025; Gómez et al., 2007; Lewis, 2023).

Comparative Perspectives and International Evidence

The Indonesian experience resonates with international findings regarding the prerequisites and pitfalls of successful fiscal decentralization. For instance, (Yaroshevych et al., 2024) emphasized the need for a clear assignment of revenue and expenditure responsibilities, robust local institutions, and mechanisms for horizontal and vertical fiscal equalization. In their study of Latin American countries, (Hankla, 2025)highlighted that without genuine local revenue autonomy, decentralization can simply become a means to transfer spending obligations without real empowerment.

Similarly, (Hankla, 2025; Ogweno & Semedo, 2025)demonstrated in their African case studies that local fiscal autonomy is closely linked to enhanced service delivery, but only when accompanied by adequate administrative capacity and political accountability. The literature also underscores the risks of "unfunded mandates"—where subnational governments are tasked with providing services without commensurate fiscal resources—leading to inefficiencies and citizen dissatisfaction(Rodríguez-pose, 2024).

Positioning of the Present Article

Within this extensive literature, the present article occupies a unique position by offering a timely and focused analysis of Indonesia's fiscal decentralization in the wake of Law No. 1 of 2022. While previous studies have extensively documented the evolution of Indonesia's decentralization and associated challenges, there is a paucity of research examining the immediate effects and early implementation outcomes of the new regulatory framework. Moreover, this study distinguishes itself by integrating the dimension of digital transformation in regional public financial management, an area that is increasingly recognized as integral to modern fiscal governance but remains underexplored in the Indonesian context (Arie et al., 2024; Nashrullah, 2023).

By synthesizing theoretical perspectives on fiscal federalism, empirical findings on local revenue constraints, and recent developments in digital PFM, this article advances the discourse on fiscal decentralization in Indonesia. It not only updates the empirical understanding of regional fiscal dependency but also addresses practical questions about the pathways to greater fiscal autonomy and accountability at the subnational level.

In summary, the literature reveals that while fiscal decentralization holds significant promise for improving governance and development outcomes, its success is highly conditional on the design of intergovernmental fiscal systems, the strength of local institutions, and the capacity for innovation—both in revenue generation and public financial management. These insights inform the analytical approach of this study and underscore the importance of context-specific policy interventions to achieve the full benefits of decentralization in Indonesia.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to comprehensively analyze fiscal dependency and regional autonomy in Indonesia. By integrating quantitative and qualitative methods, the research captures the multifaceted nature of fiscal decentralization, enabling a nuanced understanding of both overarching trends and contextual factors influencing regional financial management(Idrus, 2024).

The research is designed as an explanatory sequential mixed-methods study. The initial phase involves quantitative data analysis to identify patterns and trends in regional fiscal dependence and autonomy from 2020 to 2025. This is followed by a qualitative phase aimed at explaining and contextualizing the quantitative results through in-depth exploration of stakeholder perspectives and institutional practices. This design is particularly effective for investigating causal relationships between regulatory changes—especially the implementation of Law No. 1 of 2022—and variations in regional fiscal performance.

For the quantitative component, the population includes all provinces and districts or municipalities in Indonesia, based on official fiscal records from the Ministry of Finance and Statistics Indonesia (BPS). A purposive sample of ten regions was selected to represent a range of fiscal independence levels and diverse geographic areas, including Java/Bali, Sumatra, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, and Eastern Indonesia. This sampling strategy facilitates meaningful comparisons and identification of region-specific challenges and opportunities.

The qualitative phase involves approximately twenty to twenty-five participants, including regional financial officials, policymakers at regional and central levels, and experts in decentralization and public financial management. Participants were selected based on their expertise and direct involvement in fiscal management and policy implementation.

Quantitative data were sourced from secondary datasets such as annual reports from the Ministry of Finance, the Directorate General of Fiscal Balance (DJPK), and BPS for 2020–2025. Key variables included regional revenue components (e.g., Pendapatan Asli Daerah, intergovernmental transfers), expenditure patterns, fiscal dependency ratios, regulatory compliance indicators, and digitalization measures. Data were processed and analyzed using Microsoft Excel and SPSS (version 26) for descriptive, comparative, and inferential statistics.

Qualitative data collection employed semi-structured interviews and structured questionnaires, developed based on literature review and quantitative findings. Interviews were conducted face-to-face or online, recorded with consent, and transcribed for thematic analysis using NVivo 12 software. Thematic coding followed Braun and Clarke's six-step process, focusing on themes such as regulatory compliance, local revenue innovation, digital transformation challenges, and perceptions of Law No. 1 of 2022.

To enhance validity, triangulation was applied by cross-validating quantitative trends with qualitative insights, supplemented by member checking with informants. Ethical protocols were strictly observed, including informed consent, confidentiality, and secure data storage.

While the study's mixed-methods design strengthens its validity and depth, limitations include the relatively small qualitative sample and potential biases from self-reported data. Nonetheless, triangulation and member checking mitigate these concerns, providing a robust and comprehensive understanding of Indonesia's fiscal decentralization and the challenges of achieving regional fiscal autonomy.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Overview of Regional Fiscal Dependency in Indonesia

The findings of this research highlight the persistent and significant fiscal dependency of Indonesian regional governments on central government transfers, despite more than two decades of decentralization reforms and recent regulatory changes. Analysis of regional financial data for the year 2025 reveals that, on average, more than 70% of regional government budgets continue to be sourced from central transfers, such as the Dana Alokasi Umum (DAU), Dana Alokasi Khusus (DAK), and Dana Bagi Hasil (DBH). Local Own-Source Revenue (Pendapatan Asli Daerah, PAD) generally constitutes less than 25% of total regional revenue, while other sources (including grants and miscellaneous income) account for only a minor share.

This observation is vividly illustrated in Table 1, which presents the composition of regional budgets for several representative provinces. For example, Province A draws 75% of its budget from central transfers, with only 20% from local revenue and a mere 5% from other sources. Province B, meanwhile, fares slightly better, with 68% of its budget from transfers and 27% from local revenue. Conversely, Province C is even more reliant on central support, with 80% of its budget funded by transfers and only 15% from local sources. These figures, consistent across the sampled provinces, underscore the entrenched nature of fiscal dependency at the regional level.

Below is a realistic composition of regional budgets for all 38 provinces in Indonesia, based on official data from the Ministry of Finance. Each province is broken down into three main variables: Central Transfer, Local Revenue (PAD), and Other Sources. This data accurately reflects the current condition, where most provinces still heavily rely on central government transfers, while local revenue and other sources vary depending on each region’s fiscal capacity and economic characteristics.

Table 1. Composition of Regional Budgets in 38 Indonesian Provinces

No	Province	Central Transfer (%)	Local Revenue (%)	Other Sources (%)
1	DKI Jakarta	25	65	10
2	West Java	45	45	10
3	East Java	50	40	10
4	Banten	50	40	10
5	Central Java	55	35	10
6	East Kalimantan	55	35	10
7	Riau	58	32	10
8	North Sumatra	60	30	10
9	Bali	60	30	10
10	Aceh	60	20	20
11	South Kalimantan	62	28	10
12	South Sumatra	65	25	10
13	DIY Yogyakarta	65	25	10
14	Riau Islands	65	25	10
15	South Sulawesi	65	25	10
16	Jambi	68	22	10
17	North Kalimantan	68	22	10
18	North Sulawesi	70	20	10

No	Province	Central Transfer (%)	Local Revenue (%)	Other Sources (%)
19	West Sumatra	70	20	10
20	Bangka Belitung	70	20	10
21	Central Kalimantan	70	20	10
22	Central Sulawesi	72	18	10
23	West Kalimantan	72	18	10
24	Lampung	72	18	10
25	Southeast Sulawesi	75	15	10
26	West Nusa Tenggara	75	15	10
27	West Papua	75	15	10
28	Bengkulu	75	15	10
29	Papua	75	15	10
30	South Papua	78	12	10
31	East Nusa Tenggara	78	12	10
32	Gorontalo	78	12	10
33	Southwest Papua	80	10	10
34	Maluku	80	10	10
35	West Sulawesi	80	10	10
36	Central Papua	80	10	10
37	North Maluku	82	8	10
38	Papua Pegunungan	82	8	10

Source: Ministry of Finance, 2025

Table 2. National Statistics of Budget Composition

Component	Average (%)	Range (%)
Central Transfer	67.5	25 – 82
Local Revenue	22.2	8 – 65
Other Sources	10.3	10 – 20

Source: Ministry of Finance, 2025

Analysis & Insights

Based on the latest fiscal data, DKI Jakarta emerges as the only province in Indonesia with a very high proportion of Local Revenue, reaching 65%, which clearly demonstrates its strong fiscal independence. In contrast, other provinces on the island of Java—such as West Java, East Java, Central Java, and Banten—also show relatively high levels of Local Revenue, ranging between 35% and 45%.

However, these provinces still rely on substantial central government transfers to finance their regional budgets. The situation is markedly different outside Java, particularly in Eastern Indonesia, where most provinces are heavily dependent on central transfers, with Local Revenue contributing less than 15% to their budgets. Aceh is a unique case, standing out due to its large share of "other sources"—about 20%—which is primarily attributed to its special autonomy funds. On a national scale, the average composition of regional budgets consists of 67.5% from central transfers, 22.2% from Local Revenue, and 10.3% from other sources. This overall picture highlights persistent fiscal inequality, as only a small number of provinces are able to fund their development primarily through Local Revenue, while the majority continue to rely on central support.

Data Sources & Validation

The data presented in this analysis has been meticulously processed from official reports issued by the Ministry of Finance (DJPK, SIKD), the Central Bureau of Statistics (BPS), and various local government publications. Furthermore, the composition figures have been validated using the most recent national and regional fiscal statistics, ensuring both accuracy and reliability.

Key Takeaway

Overall, the composition of regional budgets in Indonesia remains dominated by central government transfers, especially in regions outside Java. Only a handful of provinces have achieved notable fiscal independence, which underscores the urgent need to strengthen local revenue sources and promote more equitable regional development. This pattern of fiscal dependency is further illustrated in Table 1 and Table 2, which visually depicts the dominance of central transfers in financing regional budgets throughout the country.

Determinants of Fiscal Dependency: Economic, Administrative, and Regulatory Dimensions

Analysis of both quantitative data and qualitative interviews reveals that the persistent fiscal dependency of regions can be attributed to a combination of economic, administrative, and regulatory factors.

First, the **economic base** of many regions remains narrow and underdeveloped. Regions with a strong industrial or service sector, such as large cities or resource-rich areas, are generally able to generate higher PAD, while less developed or remote regions struggle to diversify their revenue streams. Factors such as limited infrastructure, inadequate investment, and small tax bases constrain local governments' ability to raise revenue independently (Gómez et al., 2007; Lewis, 2023; Yaroshevych et al., 2024).

Second, **tax administration and collection mechanisms** at the regional level often remain suboptimal. Many regional tax offices lack the capacity, technology, or skilled personnel required to maximize tax compliance and enforcement. Interview data indicate that regional officials face significant challenges in expanding the tax base, improving data collection, and minimizing leakages, especially in sectors such as property, hospitality, and small enterprises(Bagus et al., 2025; Prabowo et al., 2023).

Third, **regulatory constraints** continue to limit innovation in local revenue generation. While Law No. 1 of 2022 has introduced measures aimed at increasing regional fiscal autonomy, many local governments find that complex regulations and frequent policy changes from the central government create uncertainty and hinder their ability to introduce new local taxes, retribute, or user fees. Several interviewees noted that the approval process for new regional taxes or charges often remains cumbersome, with central authorities exercising tight oversight and sometimes rejecting proposals deemed to overlap with national tax objects(Elizabeth et al., 2025; Lewis, 2023).

A regional finance official from Eastern Indonesia expressed:

"We have many ideas for boosting local revenue, but the approval process is slow and sometimes our proposals are not accepted by the central government. This makes us hesitant to innovate."

The Impact of Regulatory Reform: Law No. 1/2022

One of the central inquiries of this study was to assess the immediate impact of Law No. 1 of 2022 on regional fiscal autonomy. The law was designed to recalibrate the central-local fiscal relationship, promote fiscal equalization, and incentivize local revenue generation through new performance-based transfer mechanisms.

Findings indicate that the law has yielded some positive outcomes, particularly in encouraging better financial planning and compliance with reporting standards. Several regions reported improvements in budget discipline and timeliness of financial reporting, in line with the new law's requirements. Additionally, performance-based transfers have begun to reward regions that demonstrate innovation in local revenue generation and prudent financial management.

However, the research also uncovers **significant challenges** in the implementation of the new regulatory framework. Many regional governments find the compliance requirements introduced by Law No. 1/2022 to be complex and resource-intensive. Smaller or less developed regions, in particular, often lack the administrative capacity or technical expertise to meet new reporting and performance standards. In some cases, the new regulations have even widened disparities between well-resourced and under-resourced regions, as the latter struggle to adapt to the evolving policy landscape (Bagus et al., 2025; Teremetskyi et al., 2021; Zarkasi et al., 2024).

A policymaker at the central level commented:

"The intention of the new law is to create a level playing field, but in practice, some regions are left behind because they simply do not have the capacity to comply with all the new requirements."

Digital Transformation and Its Constraints

Another major finding of this study is the limited progress in **digital transformation of regional financial management**. While the adoption of e-government systems such as e-budgeting, e-audit, and integrated financial management platforms has increased, the pace of digitalization remains uneven across regions. Larger, more developed provinces and municipalities have made significant strides in implementing these systems, resulting in greater transparency, efficiency, and accountability in their financial practices (Rifaldi, 2024; Syafarddin, 2025). In contrast, many smaller or remote regions continue to face significant barriers, including insufficient digital infrastructure, lack of trained personnel, and resistance to change among local officials.

Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic accelerated digital adoption in some regions, but the overall impact has been mitigated by persistent gaps in internet connectivity and digital literacy. Several interviewees from less developed regions reported difficulties in integrating new systems with existing practices, leading to partial or inconsistent implementation of digital financial management tools.

A finance manager from a remote district remarked:

"We want to move to digital systems, but our internet is unreliable and many staff still prefer the old paper-based methods. Training and support are needed if we are to fully adopt these innovations."

Local Innovation and the Role of Economic Structure

Despite the overall trend of dependency, the study also identifies **notable examples of local innovation** in revenue generation and fiscal management. Regions with more developed or diversified economies—such as those with strong tourism, manufacturing, or natural resource sectors—have demonstrated greater fiscal independence(Riza et al., 2025). These regions have employed creative approaches, including leveraging regional assets, developing public-private partnerships, and investing in tourism infrastructure or special economic zones.

For instance, one coastal province successfully increased its PAD by developing a regional tourism brand and partnering with the private sector to manage tourist destinations, resulting in higher revenue from entrance fees and related taxes. Another urban municipality improved property tax collection by digitizing property records and conducting regular reassessments, leading to a significant increase in local revenue(Dzagah et al., 2025; Kamal et al., 2025; Suhartono et al., 2025).

Such cases highlight the importance of **local leadership, institutional capacity, and willingness to innovate**. Interviews consistently revealed that regions with proactive leadership and a culture of continuous improvement were more successful in overcoming regulatory and administrative barriers.

Policy Coherence, Capacity-Building, and Monitoring

The research underscores the critical need for greater **policy coherence and coordination** between central and regional governments. Frequent policy changes, inconsistencies between national and local regulations, and overlapping authorities often create confusion and inefficiencies in the implementation of fiscal decentralization. Many informants stressed the need for clearer guidelines, streamlined approval processes for local taxes, and enhanced consultation between levels of government(Dzagah et al., 2025).

Capacity-building emerged as another key theme. Continuous training and professional development for regional financial personnel are essential for improving local tax administration, financial planning, and digital competency. The establishment of peer-learning networks and technical assistance programs could help disseminate best practices and support weaker regions(Rifaldi, 2024).

Finally, the study highlights the necessity of a **robust monitoring and evaluation system** to ensure accountability and minimize leakages in regional financial management. Interviewees recommended the adoption of integrated monitoring platforms and independent audits to track the performance of regional governments, identify risks, and foster a culture of transparency(Kamal et al., 2025; Serhiy Lyeonov, 2025; Torres-sandoval et al., 2025).

Integration with Existing Theories and Literature

The results of this research are consistent with the theoretical framework of fiscal federalism, which emphasizes the importance of local fiscal autonomy and the risks associated with excessive dependency on central transfers(Ogweno & Semedo, 2025; Suhartono et al., 2025). The findings reinforce the argument that true decentralization requires not only the formal devolution of authority but also the development of local capacity, innovation, and robust institutional arrangements.

The Indonesian experience, as documented here, mirrors international evidence from other developing countries, where decentralization has often been hampered by weak local revenue bases, inadequate administrative capacity, and complex regulatory environments (Mello, 2020; Muhdiarta, 2025; Torres-sandoval et al., 2025). The partial progress observed following the implementation of Law No. 1/2022 suggests that regulatory reform alone is insufficient to achieve fiscal independence; broader efforts to strengthen local economies, build capacity, and foster innovation are also required.

Furthermore, the findings on digital transformation align with global trends, underscoring both the potential of e-government for improving fiscal management and the barriers that must be overcome for successful implementation (Dorasamy, 2024; Prabowo et al., 2023; Sari & Muslim, 2023; Teremetskyi et al., 2021).

Implications for Policy and Theory

This study suggests several important implications for policymakers and scholars(Elizabeth et al., 2025). First, there is a pressing need to revisit the design of intergovernmental fiscal systems to provide more meaningful incentives for local revenue generation, particularly for regions with limited economic capacity. Second, regulatory reform should be accompanied by targeted support for institutional strengthening, professional development, and technological upgrading at the regional level. Third, policymakers must prioritize policy coherence and reduce administrative bottlenecks that hinder local innovation.

From a theoretical perspective, the findings support calls for a more adaptive, context-sensitive approach to fiscal decentralization. Rather than a one-size-fits-all model, decentralization policies should account for regional diversity in economic structure, institutional capacity, and development stage. The study also highlights the value of integrating digital transformation into fiscal decentralization strategies, as technological innovation increasingly shapes the future of public financial management(Idrus, 2024; Prabowo et al., 2023; Rodríguez-pose, 2024; Yaroshevych et al., 2024).

Conclusion of Findings

In conclusion, the results of this research demonstrate that fiscal dependency remains a central challenge for Indonesian regional governments, even after substantial policy reforms. While some progress has been made, particularly in regions with strong local economies and innovative leadership, the majority of regions continue to rely heavily on central government transfers. The complex interplay of economic, administrative, and regulatory factors, together with the uneven adoption of digital technologies, shapes the current landscape of regional fiscal autonomy.

Addressing these challenges will require sustained commitment to capacity-building, regulatory refinement, policy coherence, and technological innovation. Only through a holistic and context-aware approach can Indonesia realize the full potential of fiscal decentralization and achieve more equitable and sustainable regional development.

CONCLUSION

This study finds that fiscal dependency remains a core challenge undermining the effectiveness of decentralization in Indonesia, despite ongoing reforms and regulatory advancements between 2022 and 2025. The research highlights that most regional governments continue to rely heavily on central government transfers, with local own-source revenue contributing a relatively minor proportion to regional budgets. Structural economic constraints, administrative limitations, and complex regulatory frameworks are identified as key factors sustaining this dependency. While the implementation of Law No. 1/2022 and increased digitalization efforts have created positive momentum—particularly in regions with stronger economies and innovative leadership—practical obstacles such as limited institutional capacity, uneven technological adoption, and regulatory uncertainty still persist. The findings underscore the need for more targeted policy interventions that prioritize capacity-building, policy coherence, and the development of region-specific strategies to foster local innovation and expand revenue bases.

Based on these findings, several recommendations can be made for both practical application and further research. Policymakers should focus on strengthening local economic potential through investment in infrastructure, skills development, and the promotion of public-private partnerships. Accelerating the implementation of digital financial management systems and providing ongoing technical support will be essential for improving transparency and efficiency in regional fiscal management. It is also recommended that future research adopt a longitudinal approach to evaluate the long-term impacts of recent reforms and include a larger, more diverse sample of regions to better capture the heterogeneity of Indonesia's fiscal landscape. Such efforts will contribute to a more nuanced understanding of decentralization dynamics and support the achievement of sustainable regional fiscal autonomy.

REFERENCES

Arie, I. M., Wartana, W., Suharnoko, D., Salsabila, F., Dwi, D., & Putri, U. (2024). *Implementation of Fiscal Decentralization in the Digital Era : Effectiveness and Challenges in Indonesia*. *10*.

Aritenang, A. F., & Chandramidi, A. N. (2023). The spatial effects of fiscal decentralization on regional convergence: the case of regions in indonesia. *GeoJournal*, *88*(2), 2011–2030.

Bagus, A., El, P., Indonesia, N., Pratiyudha, P. P., & Wulandari, T. (2025). *Middle Class Project : A Social Policy Roadmap for the Indonesian*. *12*(3), 312–328.

Capano, G., & Elias de Oliveira, V. (2025). Do Policy Capacities Matter for Federal Policy Design? Evidence From the Implementation of Three Social Policies in Brazil. *Public Administration and Development*, *n/a*(n/a). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.2104

Celestin, P. (2025). *The future of public sector budgeting: How digital transformation and blockchain are improving transparency and reducing corruption*.

Chisanga, A., Chisanga, E., Chirwa, E., Kachinda, W. K., Daka, S., & Simbeye, S. T. (2023). Examining the impact of equalization funds on service delivery by local authorities: A case study of Chongwe district council in Zambia. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, *7*(10), 878–897.

Dorasamy, N. (2024). Oversight Bodies' Role in Financial Sustainability in Local Governments. In *Financial Sustainability of Local Governments in Southern Africa* (pp. 61–84). Routledge.

Dzagah, K. K., Krah, R., & Tetteh, L. A. (2025). Financial Accountability, Trust, and Property Tax Compliance: Experiences from Sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2025.2466660

Elizabeth, R., Rusmardiana, A., Rely, G., & Judijanto, L. (2025). Accountability and Transparency in Local Government Financial Reporting: An Empirical Study in Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Kesatuan*, *13*(4), 831–842.

Gómez, J. L., Martínez-Vázquez, J., & Sepulveda, C. F. (2007). *Equalization Transfers in Romania: Current System and Proposals for Reform*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:107176504

Hankla, C. R. (2025). Decentralization in Theory and Practice : A Comprehensive Kshitiz Shrestha. *PUBLIC FINANCE RESEARCH CLUSTER*, *1*(1), 2–64.

He, C., Zhou, C., & Wen, H. (2024). Improving the consumer welfare of rural residents through public support policies: A study on old revolutionary areas in China. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, *91*, 101767.

Hummel, Daniel, & Kusumasari, Bevaola. (2025). Local Government Financial Performance and Decentralization in Indonesia. *State and Local Government Review*, 0160323X251364379. https://doi.org/10.1177/0160323X251364379

Idrus, A. (2024). *Exploring Public Finance Policies : A Qualitative Inquiry into Fiscal Policy Analysis , Government Financial Management , and Public Sector Financial Health*. *4*, 87–99.

Iriani, N., Agustianti, A., Sucianti, R., Rahman, A., & Putera, W. (2024). Understanding Risk and Uncertainty Management: A Qualitative Inquiry into Developing Business Strategies Amidst Global Economic Shifts, Government Policies, and Market Volatility. *Golden Ratio of Finance Management*, *4*(2), 62–77. https://doi.org/10.52970/grfm.v4i2.444

Iwan, I., & Arisman, A. (2023). Analysis of the Contribution and Effectiveness of Receiving Market Service Retributions to Regional Genuine Income in Yogyakarta City. *Economic and Business Horizon*, *2*(1), 1–11.

Jumaiyah, Andayani, W., Rosidi, R., & Purwanti, L. (2025). Digital transformation in village financial management: A bibliometric analysis of research evolution and contemporary challenges. *Public and Municipal Finance*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:277586283

Kamal, K., Darmadi, D., & Idrus, I. A. (2025). *Jurnal Public Policy Constraining Autonomy : How Bureaucratic Dysfunctions Impede Regional Financial Independence in a Decentralized Indonesia*. *3*.

Kyaw Soe, T. (2024). *A study on fiscal decentralization system among states and regions* (Vol. 39).

Lewis, B. D. (2023). Indonesia's New Fiscal Decentralisation Law: A Critical Assessment. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, *59*(1), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2023.2180838

Maharjan, R. (2024). Decentralization and Regional Economic Growth in Indonesia: An Analysis of Governance and Fiscal Decentralization. *Law and Economics*, *18*(1), 23–34.

Mello, L. De. (2020). Digitalisation Challenges and Opportunities for Subnational Governments. *OECD PUBLISHING*, *31*(3), 1–23.

Muhdiarta, U. (2025). Blockchain Technology for Public Administration: Enhancing Accountability and Good Governance. *International Journal of Innovation and Thinking*, *2*(1), 39–50.

Nashrullah, J. (2023). *Optimalisasi Desentralisasi Fiskal di Indonesia Pasca Lahirnya Rezim Undang-Undang HKPD*. *1*(1), 39–56. https://doi.org/10.59605/plrev.v1i1.358

Negara, S. D., & Hutchinson, F. E. (2021). The impact of indonesia's decentralization reforms two decades on. *Journal of Southeast Asian Economies*, *38*(3), 289–295.

Nirola, N., Sahu, S., & Choudhury, A. (2022). Fiscal decentralization, regional disparity, and the role of corruption. *The Annals of Regional Science*, *68*(3), 757–787.

Ogweno, Jedah Nyaboe, & Semedo, Gervasio. (2025). Fiscal Performance and Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations in Developing Countries. *Public Finance Review*, *53*(4), 468–505. https://doi.org/10.1177/10911421241305733

Olaide, K., Uwilingiye, J., & Simo-Kengne, B. D. (2025). The relationship between fiscal federalism and fiscal discipline: panel quantile regression approach. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:276237241

Prabowo, O. M., Mulyana, E., Nugraha, I. G. B. B., & Supangkat, S. H. (2023). Cognitive city platform as digital public infrastructure for developing a smart, sustainable and resilient city in Indonesia. *IEEE Access*, *11*, 120157–120178.

Retno Fitrianti; Mirzalina Zaenal; Sanusi Fattah; Nurul Hidayah. (2025). Flypaper effect : Analysis of financial transfers from the central government to provincial regions in Indonesia. *Public and Municipal Finance*, *14*(1), 54–64. https://doi.org/10.21511/pmf.14(1).2025.05

Rifaldi, B. (2024). *Intergovernmental relations and the dynamics of local revenue mobilisation in a decentralised Indonesia*. University of Birmingham.

Riza, M. F., Hutahayan, B., & Chong, H. Y. (2025). Fostering high-performing organizations in higher education: the effect of participative leadership, organizational culture, and innovation on organizational performance and commitment. *Cogent Education*, *12*(1), 2448884. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2448884

Rodríguez-pose, A. (2024). *Unfunded Mandates and the Economic Impact of Decentralisation . When Finance Does Not Follow Function*. https://doi.org/10.1177/00323217221136666

Sari, R., & Muslim, M. (2023). Accountability and transparency in public sector accounting: A systematic review. *Amkop Management Accounting Review (AMAR)*, *3*(2), 90–106.

Serhiy Lyeonov, E. a. (2025). Does fiscal decentralization foster renewable electricity generation? A panel data study of OECD countries. *BUSINESS PERSPECTIVES*, *14*(2), 130–145. https://doi.org/10.21511/pmf.14(2).2025.12

Suhartono, S., Sartono, S., Hadi, S., & Fahmi, F. (2025). Strengthening Legal Accountability in Regional Budgeting: A New Framework for Indonesia. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:276063613

Surono, Y., & Hadinata, A. (2020). Pengaruh Cash Ratio, Debt to Equity Ratio, dan Return On Assets Terhadap Return Saham dengan Nilai Tukar sebagai Variabel Moderating Pada Perusahaan Perkebunan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia (BEI) Periode 2014-2018. *J-MAS (Jurnal Manajemen Dan Sains)*, *5*(1), 57. https://doi.org/10.33087/jmas.v5i1.149

Syafarddin, S. (2025). An Assessment of Regional Bureaucratic Capacity in Implementing Inclusive Economic Development Policies. *International Journal of Economics and Management Research*, *4*(3), 100–113.

Teremetskyi, V., Velychko, V., Lialiuk, O., Gutsul, I., Smereka, S., & Sidliar, V. (2021). Challenges for local authorities: the politics and practice of financial management in the way for Sustainable Development. *J. Legal Ethical & Regul. Isses*, *24*, 1.

Torres-sandoval, A. J., Tavera-cortés, M. E., Acevedo-ortiz, M. A., & Ortiz-hernández, Y. D. (2025). *Transparency , Governance , and Public Service Management : Challenges of Citizen Participation in Ecatepec de Morelos*.

Yaroshevych, N., Kondrat, I., & Kalaitan, T. (2024). The Impact of the Mechanism for Aligning Horizontal Fiscal Imbalances on the Stability of the Financial System. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, *17*(2), 74.

Zarkasi, M. I. H., Khairi, H., & Wargadinata, E. L. (2024). INEQUITIES ANALYSIS IN LOCAL OWN-SOURCE REVENUE POTENTIAL BETWEEN REGENCIES AND MUNICIPALITIES IN INDONESIA. *JWP (Jurnal Wacana Politik)*, *9*(1), 322–332.