International Conference on Economics, Technology, Management, Accounting, Education, and Social Science
Volume 01 Issue 01 Year 2025

Integration Challenges of Indonesia's Hospital Management Information Systems: A Literature Review

Erick Juniyantho Wijaya[1]*, Abdul Gopur[2], Nani Asrini[3], Arie Piet Yeuwun[4], Rian Andriani[5]

[1,2,3,4,5] Adhirajasa Reswara Sanjaya University, Bandung, Indonesia
*Corespondence email: erikjunianthowijaya180@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received : 3 July 2025
Accepted : 21 July 2025
Available : 28 July 2025

Keywords:
Integration, challenges, management, hospital information system.

ABSTRACT

Hospitals provide essential health services to all community segments, where patient outcomes rely not only on clinical care but also on effective information management via Hospital Management Information Systems (HMIS). As technology advances, HMIS enables faster, more efficient services by collecting, processing, and presenting data across hospital levels and for policymakers. This organized data flow supports decision-making and program implementation. Within this context, the following literature review explores key challenges in achieving comprehensive and effective HMIS integration. Article searches were conducted using the keywords "Challenges of integrating the Hospital Information System" on Google Scholar, thus obtaining relevant publications systematically. From the initial 29,700 articles, only five publications met the criteria, and these five articles entered the final selection stage. From the in-depth study, three main barriers were revealed: a limited number and expertise of human resources, inadequate technological infrastructure, and high costs of implementing and maintaining HMIS. The discussion and its conclusions are strongly based on phased planning, strengthening competencies, and adequate funding strategies. In order to address these issues, four main strategies can be implemented: (1) designing a sustainable innovative funding scheme, (2) organising comprehensive training programmes for all relevant professional staff, (3) continuously investing in reliable technological infrastructure, and (4) developing harmonised data standards, both at the national level and in the international arena.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hospitals have a central role in providing basic healthcare services to the community, and this role is inseparable from the quality of service received. The quality of medical services, staff responsiveness, and patient physical comfort rarely stand alone; all these elements appear interconnected, with one of the links being integrated and efficient management. In this context, a well-designed Hospital Management Information System (HMIS) serves as a fundamental tool. Each year, rapid advancements in information technology present new opportunities to improve clinical productivity and reduce operational costs, allowing hospitals to utilize limited resources more wisely. One tangible manifestation of this innovation is the implementation of an HMIS that centrally collects, processes, and disseminates data to various levels of government.

With an inclusive architecture, this system strives to create a service governance framework that can reach all layers of society without exception. The adoption of HMIS is not merely an effort to present a modern image but a strategic step to ensure that every decision is based on actual and measurable information. Therefore, regular evaluations, performance audits, and user training must be scheduled so that each unit in the hospital can truly benefit maximally from this system.

The obligation for hospitals to implement and develop the Hospital Management Information System (HMIS) has been clearly established in Ministry of Health Regulation No. 82 of 2013. In this regulation, HMIS is understood as a network of software that collects, stores, and distributes managerial data from each department, division, and work unit into a single integrated panel (Aurelianne et al., 2023). Furthermore, Health Law No. 36 of 2009 asserts that the effectiveness and efficiency of each healthcare service are highly determined by the availability of accurate, structured information managed through information systems across all sectors. This provision is reiterated in Article 45 of Government Regulation No. 46 of 2014, which mandates that every healthcare facility provider provides supporting infrastructure, ranging from hardware and technology to skilled human resources (Aurelianne et al., 2023).

The application of information technology in the hospital sector brings strategic benefits, such as faster access to health data, more orderly information integration, and the ability to analyze clinical data in real time. However, the shift from manual mechanisms to digital platforms is never easy and is often accompanied by obstacles. These barriers may arise from technical issues, incompatibility with old systems, or resistance among staff accustomed to conventional working methods.

Globally, the progress of integrating information technology into healthcare services varies from one region to another. Hebda, Czar, and Mascara (2005) note that in many low and middle-income countries, the lack of networks, hardware, and technical personnel still poses major barriers. This situation hampers hospitals' ability to utilize hospital management information systems (HMIS), thus keeping the gap in care quality and operational efficiency wide open. Such discontinuity not only undermines service quality in certain facilities but also widens the injustice of access to rapid and effective medical technology and information.

In practice, the most noticeable barriers typically arise from the users themselves. It is not uncommon for doctors, nurses, or administrative staff to feel anxious and lack confidence when their work procedures are reset by the implementation of digital systems. Malliarou and Zyga (2009) show that without detailed training programmers and long-term support, migration to electronic platforms will be hindered and time-consuming. The perception that new technology could replace their functions or shift their professional social status adds to the insecurity that ultimately drives rejection.

Aside from the challenges stemming from within the organization, another aspect that must not be overlooked is the protection of patient data security and privacy. The more sophisticated and critically important the information system being built, the higher the risk of data breaches, meaning that any failure can result in significant losses. In this context, Blumenthal (2011) warns that without adequate security measures, the benefits of implementing HMIS could be overshadowed by a negative image due to leaks, damaging the reputation of healthcare institutions and eroding public trust that is difficult to rebuild.

Regulatory and policy changes that continuously emerge often become significant obstacles when hospitals implement Hospital Management Information Systems (HMIS). When new regulations are announced, hospitals typically have to update existing software and configurations; this process demands considerable time, funds, and manpower. Kohn, Corrigan, and Donaldson (1999) warn that any system, to remain relevant, must be designed

flexibly enough to absorb legal updates and technological innovations without a complete overhaul. In addition, the substantial initial investment and daily operational costs must be carefully considered before management gives the green light to the project. For this reason, a thorough cost-benefit analysis must be conducted, not merely based on assumptions, so that every pound invested truly yields returns in the form of efficiency and savings in the future. A similar point is made by Bates (2005), who observes that although initial bills appear in large figures, the myriad benefits in terms of employee productivity and patient experience often ultimately outweigh or even surpass the expenditures incurred.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The integration of hospital information systems in Indonesia is not an easy task and involves interrelated technical aspects, human resources, and policies. This complexity indicates that there are still many obstacles to overcome before we can have a connected health information network across the country. Among the main issues that arise are limited technology infrastructure, low levels of readiness among staff, a lack of uniform data standards, high implementation costs, and a skeptical attitude towards change. Moreover, data security issues and the unclear legal framework also hinder progress in the integration of systems in the healthcare sector. The challenges are examined one by one as follows:

1. Technology Infrastructure Gaps and Lack of Data Standard Integration

One of the most apparent stumbling blocks is the disparity in infrastructure between hospitals in large cities and those in remote areas. Many healthcare facilities in isolated regions are not equipped with adequate networks, server systems, or other devices to operate information applications optimally. The disharmony in data standards is also a serious problem; each hospital often uses different software with incompatible formats, thus hampering the exchange and consolidation of information between institutions.

2. Readiness and Capacity of Human Resources

Human resources are the heart of any technological integration effort, and the current state is far from ideal. Most healthcare workers and administrative staff have not undergone comprehensive training to operate the latest information systems. Without skill enhancement, day-to-day errors are likely to increase, and suspicion of new innovations will always persist. Furthermore,

the scarcity of IT professionals who can support, test, and maintain the systems exacerbates an already vulnerable situation.

3. Implementation Costs and Operational Sustainability

Implementing a Hospital Management Information System is certainly not cheap. Hospitals must provide initial funding for servers, software licenses, cables, cameras, and a surge in training costs. This initial budget is painful; however, that is only half the story; routine costs such as security patches, support, and ongoing training will recur every year. This multiplied financial burden becomes a barrier, particularly for regional hospitals that often operate on the edge of their budgets and are forced to compete with many other needs.

4. Data Protection and Regulatory Ambiguity

The issue of data protection in hospitals is now under the spotlight as patient medical records contain very personal information. When services do not have robust security guarantees, many practitioners hesitate to adopt advanced digital solutions. Additionally, the lack of synchronization of regulations at various levels of government adds legal confusion, thereby slowing down hospitals' decisions to invest in interconnected systems.

5. Resistance to System Change

Long-established routines with manual processes often create discomfort when shifting to a digital environment. If new devices seem complicated and do not align with familiar practices, staff typically prefer to stick with the old processes. This situation worsens if socialization, open dialogue, and systematic training are not implemented, resulting in the failure of technological advantages to materialize in the field.

6. Difficulties in Integrating Existing Systems

Some hospitals have previously adopted various information applications built by separate vendors. Since each acts independently, data becomes siloed, and communication between programmers is disrupted. Therefore, building bridges between these systems requires reliable technical manpower, considerable time, and additional budgets that are often difficult to allocate.

Overall, these obstacles signify that the integration of hospital information systems must be addressed multifacetedly, covering technical, workforce, financing, policy, and change management dimensions. If such efforts are not concocted comprehensively and harmoniously, the primary goal of

integration, namely efficient, transparent, and data-driven healthcare services, will be difficult to achieve in its entirety in Indonesia.

3. METHODS

To formulate a systematic and comprehensive literature review on the barriers to the integration of Hospital Management Information Systems (HMIS), this study employs a meticulously structured and rigorous systematic review approach. The study activities begin with creating a formal protocol that outlines critical elements, such as the rationale for including or excluding articles, the types of sources searched, the methods for finding materials, techniques for gathering data, and the sequence of analysis to be employed. The primary objective of this step is to minimize bias and enhance the quality of reliability and validity of the findings obtained.

In order to summarize ten publications relevant to the theme of integration of Hospital Management Information Systems, the search utilized a set of layered keywords, namely Hospital Information System, Integration, and Challenges. This variation of phrases allows search engines to capture articles from various fields, including information technology, health administration, and systems science. Such an approach aligns with the recommendation of Moher and colleagues (2009) that systematic researchers should maintain an inclusive search strategy to ensure that the synthesis of evidence is truly comprehensive.

After collecting the literature, the authors first examined the titles and abstracts to identify studies that appeared to align with the main focus. Articles that were deemed still relevant were then downloaded and read in their entirety, subsequently evaluated according to the predetermined inclusion criteria. These criteria require that each publication discuss the challenges of HMIS integration, explicates barriers, offer solutions, and is in the form of empirical data or systematic reviews. If there were differences in assessment between the two authors, they engaged in open discussion to find common ground, thus preserving the validity of the methodology and the relevance of the content of the studies included leading up to the final synthesis.

Once the relevant literature was identified, data from each work was extracted using a specially designed extraction sheet for this study. This sheet recorded the objectives, methods, sample characteristics, intervention details, key reported outcomes, as well as narratives about emerging constraints and

means of overcoming them. The collected information was then organized into a categorized system to facilitate faster and clearer analysis and synthesis. According to Higgins and Green (2011), the use of a standardized sheet maintains consistency, reduces errors, and eases the merging of results in the systematic review phase.

The next step is a qualitative analysis of the organized data, aimed at extracting central themes regarding barriers and solution measures in the integration of HMIS. To this end, the researchers combined content analysis techniques with narrative synthesis, allowing information from various studies to be interconnected and read as a comprehensive narrative. From this process, they hope to formulate an in-depth understanding of key issues and develop evidence-based recommendations for practitioners and policymakers in the future.

Moreover, efforts to identify gaps in the existing literature continue. This research aims to identify topics that have not been explored in depth, in line with the recommendations of Sackett et al. (1996). With systematic, measured steps and adherence to strict research principles, this review is expected to compile a complete and credible synthesis of knowledge regarding the challenges of HMIS integration in Indonesia. The final findings are anticipated to serve as a strategic foundation for stakeholders in the health sector—policymakers, practitioners, and academics—to make more informed decisions and design more effective implementation strategies in the future.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The literature review demonstrates that hospital management information systems (HMIS) integration is a far more complex and fast-paced process than is often portrayed. Each dimension—economic, technological, and policy—provides unique insights into the challenges that arise and offers concrete steps that can be taken to optimize the implementation of HMIS in healthcare facilities. Among the many issues, three main factors consistently emerge: high costs, inconvenience, and resistance from some users, and policy fluctuations and uncertain economic conditions, all of which have proven to be quite dominant in determining the success or failure of this technology integration.

If these obstacles can be overcome, the result will not only improve the efficiency of hospital process engineering but also the quality of care received by patients. Therefore, any strategy to overcome the challenges of HIS must be

designed and implemented systematically, sustainably, and accompanied by measurement of outcomes. A summary of the key points emerging from this analysis is now presented as a starting point for a more in-depth discussion in the following sections.

A. Financial Challenges

Health-care settings frequently encounter substantial financial barriers that obstruct modernization efforts. The initial outlay for a hospital management information system often surpasses budgetary forecasts, as it aggregates costs for hardware, software, and employee training. Research by Farzandipour et al. (2020) indicates that roughly 40 percent of hospitals struggle to marshal the financial resources needed to launch and sustain this digital transition. Compounding the problem is an unclear picture of the expected return on investment, which causes many decision-makers to hesitate. During the initial phase, high spending commonly prompts facilities to postpone technology acquisition, even though the long-term potential for enhancing operational efficiency is considerable (Negash et al. 2018). That start-up expenditure encompasses infrastructure procurement, staff training and software licensing fees, which many institutions simply do not budget adequately. Further review by Haux (2006) shows that ongoing investment in refresher education and periodic technology upgrades adds to a hospital's financial load yet remains crucial for unlocking the full value of the HIS. Continuous training is essential to ensure personnel use the system proficiently, thus minimizing user resistance and boosting overall productivity.

B. Users Resistance

Resistance from users stands out as a key barrier to the successful roll-out of Hospital Information Management Systems (HMIS). Malliarou and Zyga (2009) argue that user readiness, often undermined by inadequate training or a dim grasp of the technology's benefits, routinely slows the adoption clock. Zandieh and colleagues (2008) underline that, in the absence of solid support, end users are easily intimidated by the newcomer s apparent complexity.

a. A study by Blumenthal (2011) emphasizes pre-implementation training and ongoing orientation sessions as essential to ease user reluctance. Such education should blend hands-on skill drills with clear demonstrations of how SIMRS improves day-to-day patient care and workflow.

b. User comfort can also soar when developers craft a more intuitive interface; He et al. (2019) show that a friendly front page speeds clinical staff adoption dramatically. A welcoming design shrinks the learning curve and smooths the shift from paper logs to a digital environment.

C. Economic and Policy Influence

Government incentives and supportive policies are critical to advancing Electronic Medical Record implementation. Negash et al. (2018) found that targeted funding and a clear regulatory framework accelerate hospitals adoption and steady use of such systems.

a. Haux (2006) argued that aligning policy with daily clinical routines leads to wider, longer-lasting take-up. Examples include rewards for facilities that meet data-quality benchmarks after implementing an integrated EMR.

b. Farzandipour et al. (2020) added that rules codifying data governance and security practices also motivate hospitals to explore new technologies. Such regulations safeguard patient information while ensuring that every care provider follows the same interoperable standard.

D. Technology Adaptation

Thoughtful adjustment and seamless integration of technology remain central to overcoming barriers to hospital information-system adoption. According to Meidani et al. (2016), tailoring the design of a hospital-information-management system to an individual institutions workflows can significantly boost its overall effectiveness.

a. Farzandipour et al. (2020) add that robust interoperability among health-information systems is vital for success; when diverse platforms speak the same language, patient records flow smoothly, enhancing care coordination and streamlining management tasks.

b. He et al. (2019) argue that cloud computing delivers a scalable, cost-conscious remedy for lingering technical and operational hurdles, permitting facilities to store data securely online while curbing expensive, complex upkeep of in-house IT infrastructure.

E. Effects on Health Service Quality

Research indicates that Hospital Management Information Systems (HMIS) positively influences overall care quality. By organizing patient data systematically, the system enables more accurate diagnoses and smoother patient flow.

a. Negash et al. (2018) found that rapid access to complete medical records cuts waiting times and boosts patient satisfaction. This ripple effect permits medical staff to manage their hours with greater purpose, thus indirectly raising service standards.

b. Haux (2006) illustrates how robust data analytics support sound clinical decisions and lower the rate of preventable errors.

c. Early warning systems built into HMIS can flag deteriorating conditions, allowing timely intervention and shrinking the window for diagnostic mistakes.

Even so, persistent challenges-such as system costs, staff training, and data privacy-require united, strategic action if hospitals hope to reap lasting value from digital health tools.

Financial Challenges and Funding Solutions

A primary financial challenge confronting a Hospital Information Management System (HIMS) is its steep up-front cost, which rarely matches the limited budget many hospitals maintain. Farzandipour et al. (2020) observe that inadequate funding routinely stalls information-technology advances in health-care settings. Such expenses include servers, proprietary software licenses, and staff training, each of which strains already tight fiscal resources. In the worst cases, funding shortfalls force decision-makers to shrink project scope, postpone roll-out, or even cancel planned upgrades altogether. To mitigate licensing fees, administrators may explore open-source alternatives, as several studies have found low-cost technical solutions both effective and reliable (Negash et al., 2018).

Total transparency regarding all implementation costs and the benefits those expenditures promise empowers hospital management to make smarter, evidence-based investments in health information technology. Careful budget supervision, paired with timely and accurate reporting, fosters the trust of stakeholders and secures the ongoing political capital required to sustain these initiatives. Furthermore, a sound business plan spelling out both up-front expenses and long-term gains-such as lower operational overhead and

quicker, more efficient service delivery-gives decision-makers a clear picture of return on investment for a new HIMS.

Organizational Resistance and Strategy

Resistance to training from staff remains a major hurdle in rolling out an electronic hospital-management information system. Malliarou and Zyga (2009) note that moving from a paper-based process to a digital one is often met with skepticism by personnel who are uneasy around new technology. This reluctance usually springs from a limited grasp of the system's advantages, paired with anxiety that the switch will disrupt established workflows. Many users also feel daunted by what appears to be a complicated platform, worrying it will demand extra hours they cannot spare to learn and master. Absent ongoing support, including tailored training sessions and hands-on mentorship, the new system risks being seen not as a lifesaver but as an extra weight that slows daily operations.

According to Zandieh and colleagues (2008), the success of a hospital-wide information system hinges on how well personnel embrace the resulting changes. For this reason, hospital management must develop training programs that do more than sharpen technical skills; they must also articulate how the new system will long-term boost workflow efficiency and patient-care quality. Such training should be ongoing and kept in step with evolving technology and shifting clinical demands. In addition, a pre-launch orientation session is essential so that every prospective user feels prepared, informed, and confident when the system goes live. A well-rounded program that couples practical benefits with hands-on exercises can ease anxiety, improve user acceptance, and ultimately protect the sizable investment in the new system.

The training programmer should center on how technology streamlines daily tasks and raises the overall quality of work. It must also be continuous, updated regularly to keep pace with emerging tools and evolving clinical demands. Leadership’s role in easing resistance cannot be overlooked. Project leaders must visibly back the technological shift, engage in ongoing communication, and educate staff, consistently pointing to the long-term gains the new hospital information system will bring. Strong leadership can thereby nurture an organizational culture receptive to change and innovation. Empirical evidence underlines that top-management support is a crucial factor in the successful rollout of any new technology (Meidani et al., 2016).

Involving hospital staff in the development and implementation of the Hospital Information Management System HIMS fosters a sense of ownership and diminishes resistance. By actively listening to staff feedback and

addressing their needs, management can build a system that meets user expectations and is readily accepted. A participatory approach thus enhances both adoption rates and overall user satisfaction with SIMRS.

Tech Infrastructure and Readiness

Reliable technology infrastructure is indispensable to any modern healthcare information system. Many hospitals in low- and middle-income countries still rely on aging equipment that cannot handle the large datasets and real-time processing demanded by a fully integrated EHR system (Setiawan, 2016). When the baseline infrastructure fails, operations stumble, data is accessed too slowly, and security gaps widen (Hariana et al., 2013). Network outages, intermittent power cuts, and limited server room cooling are therefore not mere inconveniences: they jeopardize clinicians' ability to view critical patient histories and may delay life-saving interventions. Insufficient bandwidth and constrained storage also slow clinical analytics, undermining timely and accurate diagnostic decisions.

A meaningful expansion of information-technology infrastructure is essential, including upgraded hardware, faster networks, and secure, scalable data storage. Such upgrades call for more than new components; they also demand targeted training for IT personnel so that staff can effectively manage and sustain the revised systems. Governments and donor agencies can advance these initiatives through grants and technical assistance while encouraging knowledge and technology transfer by fostering international partnerships, a strategy recommended by studies examining information-technology implementation in diverse health settings (Meidani et al., 2016).

In addition, hospitals should develop a long-term plan for the ongoing upkeep and regular upgrading of their digital infrastructure. Such a plan needs to set aside funds to replace aging hardware and to expand network capacity as data traffic grows with the facility's new wings and services. Partnering with a technology vendor often gives the hospital access to the latest tools at a lower cost through multi-year service contracts (Molly, 2021).

When a hospital has sound infrastructure in place, the management information system can run smoothly, supporting every operational task and maximizing patient care. A strong technological foundation also bolsters data security critical element for safeguarding patient privacy and staying compliant with numerous regulations. For these reasons, funneling funds toward IT infrastructure should be viewed not as a cost, but as a strategic investment that will determine the future viability and success of the electronic health record system.

Data Integration and Standardization

Integration of existing systems and data standardization often hinder the efficient deployment of hospital information management systems (HIMS). When standards are inconsistent, smooth data exchange between diverse platforms-a process vital to effective health operations-becomes nearly impossible. Fragmented information can generate duplicate work, introduce diagnostic and treatment errors, and elevate patient safety risks (Setyani, 2016). Moreover, absent uniform conventions, records from different departments or even separate hospitals resist aggregation or robust analysis, limiting the ability to glean broad insights about population health or clinical performance. Such fragmentation also obstructs ongoing monitoring and comprehensive evaluation of overall care quality.

One possible solution is the establishment and adoption of robust national and international data standards that enable seamless interoperability among health-care systems. Compliance with existing privacy and security regulations, such as Europe's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the United States Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), must also be ensured. These standards should define harmonized data formats, agreed communication protocols, and clear security protocols that every hospital, health service provider, and technology vendor can readily implement. When followed consistently, such a framework can simplify system integration and guarantee that sensitive information travels safely and efficiently from one platform to another (Putra, 2020).

Cloud-native architecture and application programming interface (API)-driven solutions further lower integration barriers by providing flexible, modular connections between disparate technologies, allowing hospitals to test and adopt innovations with minimal disruption to daily care. Because cloud environments can quickly scale up or down, they also safeguard patient records across decentralized facilities and remote care points while supporting timely access for clinicians who need it. Studies indicate that moving to cloud-based services frequently streamlines workflows and trims long-term infrastructure costs, freeing resources for higher-value patient programmers (Farzandipour et al., 2020).

In addition, involving every relevant stakeholder in the process of developing technical standards is crucial; this ensures that their specific needs and priorities are systematically captured and addressed. When government agencies, health-care providers, and technology vendors work together early and continuously, the resulting guidelines tend to be more thorough and workable in real-world settings. Once these agreed-upon standards are put into

action, the adoption of electronic medical records speeds up and the broader goal of seamless health-system interoperability moves forward.

Sustained Evaluation and Adaptation

The success of any hospital information system, including SIMRS, rests not only on its initial rollout but on ongoing evaluation and adaptation. Negash et al. (2018) argue that, without regular review, a facility may remain blind to shortcomings or features in need of refinement. Continuous assessment makes it possible to spot problems and improvement opportunities before they escalate. Periodic audits also keep the system aligned with evolving clinical workflows and safeguard it against obsolescence.

Farzandipour et al. (2020) underline that a hospital cannot afford to set the Management Information System (MIS) on autopilot; it must keep checking how the system performs so that emerging bottlenecks don't drag down staff productivity or patient care. To make this ongoing review meaningful, the facility should wire a dependable, step-by-step feedback loop into everyday activity, letting nurses, clerks, and doctors signal what works and what frustrates them. Administrators then gather these comments at regular intervals-from brief pulse surveys, one-on-one chats, or open forums where users meet the IT team-and sift through the data for patterns that point to urgent fixes or larger redesign needs. Such a disciplined approach only pays off if the results are fed back and visible to the original contributors, showing them that their voices matter and that concrete changes follow. When management closes this feedback circle, it echoes Blumenthal's (2011) conclusion that clear, two-way communication boosts satisfaction and helps staff embrace new systems with less resistance.

An iterative cycle of testing, feedback, and continuous improvement ought to become embedded in the organisational culture, ensuring that technology meets immediate requirements while remaining poised to evolve with future demands. This approach encompasses regular update roadmaps for core systems, retraining of personnel in line with technological revisions, and adjustments to standard operating procedures that seamlessly weave in the proposed changes. He et al. (2019) argue that a structured refresh strategy enables hospitals to foresee and manage technological transitions, thereby mitigating the risk of disruptive downtime. Moreover, actively inviting staff to evaluate options and participate in decision-making fosters a stronger sense of ownership and job satisfaction; in turn, these attitudinal gains diminish resistance and smooth the pathway for adoption. Evidence shows that user involvement throughout the design and review phases significantly boosts

both the effectiveness and efficiency of implementing hospital information systems (Meidani et al., 2016).

When staff members feel that their opinions count and that they are included in decision-making, they are far more likely to accept and champion change. This point is illustrated by Zandieh et al. (2008), who found that involving end users in evaluative work raised adoption rates of information technology within hospitals. In turn, ongoing assessment proves vital for keeping a Hospital Information Management System relevant and effective in supporting daily operations while lifting overall care quality. Such evaluative activity should therefore be woven into the hospitals broader management strategy, safeguarding the long-term sustainability and success of any IT rollout. Beyond merely spotting and remedying glitches, continuous review enables the system to evolve with the shifting demands of a fast-paced healthcare environment.

5. CONCLUSION

The hospital management information system (HMIS) offers substantial promise for streamlining operations and enhancing the quality of patient care. Adoption, however, is frequently stymied by high implementation costs, user resistance, uneven technological infrastructure, and the pressing need for data standardization. Financial hurdles remain the most formidable obstacle, as the steep up-front expenditure for hardware, software, and training often clashes with the limited budgets many hospitals face. User pushback is equally pronounced; staff who have relied on paper-based procedures may hesitate to embrace digital tools, while outdated, insufficient infrastructure further undermines the systems ability to perform effectively.

To tackle these challenges, hospitals would benefit from a clear, step-by-step roadmap. The first step is to seek fresh money sources, like public-private partnerships, to spread the costs instead of carrying them alone. After that, a year-round, hands-on training program is vital so every worker-from the receptionist to the surgeon-understands the info system and notices how it makes their day easier. That learning should mix tech basics with real stories about patients. Up-to-date, secure tools, especially cloud services, are another must because they keep data safe, let staff add more capacity when needed, and let remote teams work without a hitch.

Next, managers have to carve out time for daily check-ins where people can say what helps and what frustrates them. When feedback lands on the

schedule, tweaks happen fast, and the system never drifts too far from the users needs. A broad partnership between regulators, hospital leaders, and tech firms seals the success; together they can write national playbooks that spell out how every system shares data in the same way. With these strategies in place, a robust hospital information system can save time, reduce errors, and improve the quality of care in every ward.

6. REFERENCES

Bates, D. W. (2005). Improving safety with information technology. New England Journal of Medicine, 353(25), 2673-2675 https://doi.org/10.3390/hospitals1010007

Blumenthal, D. (2011). Implementation of the federal health information technology initiative. New England Journal of Medicine, 365(25), 2426-2431 https://doi.org/10.2196/59066

Farzandipour, M., Ahmadi, M., & Sadoughi, F. (2020). Hospital information system implementation: A systematic review. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 101, 65-72 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-022-01905-7

Hariana, E., Sanjaya, G. Y., Rahmanti, A. R., Murtiningsih, B., & Nugroho, E. (2013). Penggunaan sistem Informasi manajemen rumah sakit (SIMRS) di DIY. SESINDO 2013, 2013.

Hebda, T., Czar, P., & Mascara, C. (2005). Handbook of Informatics for Nurses and Healthcare Professionals (3rd ed.). Pearson Prentice Hall.

He, J., Baxter, S. L., Xu, J., Xu, J., Zhou, X., & Zhang, K. (2019). The practical implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in medicine. Nature Medicine, 25(1), 30-36. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0307-0

Haux, R. (2006). Health information systems: Past, present, future. International Journal ofMedicalInformatics,75(3-4),268-281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2005.08.002

Kohn, L. T., Corrigan, J. M., & Donaldson, M. S. (1999). To err is human: Building a safer health system. National Academy Press.

Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P., ... & Moher, D. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration.BMJ,339,b2700.https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-151-4- 200908180-00136

Malliarou, M., & Zyga, S. (2009). Hospital information systems. Health Science Journal, 3(3), 199-208. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-020-1076-5

Meidani, Z., Sadoughi, F., Maleki, M. R., & Tofighi, S. (2016). Health information system adoption: A qualitative study. Health Information Management Journal, 45(1), 43-53.

Moher, D., moheati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & PRISMA Group. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLoS Medicine, 6(7), e1000097. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-151-4- 200908180-00135

Molly, R., & Itaar, M. (2021). Analisis Pemanfaatan Sistem Informasi Manajemen Rumah Sakit (SIMRS) Pada RRSUD DOK II Jayapura. Journal of Software Engineering Ampera, 2(2), 95-101 https://doi.org/10.51519/journalsea.v2i2.127

Negash, S., Ryan, T., & Igbaria, M. (2018). Quality and effectiveness in Web-based customer support systems. Information & Management, 40(8), 757-768. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7206(02)00101-5

Putra, A. D., Dangnga, M. S., & Majid, M. (2020). Evaluasi sistem informasi manajemen rumah sakit (SIMRS) dengan metode hot fit di RSUD Andi Makkasau Kota Parepare. Jurnal Ilmiah Manusia Dan Kesehatan, 3(1), 61-68 https://doi.org/10.31850/makes.v3i1.294

Sackett, D. L., Richardson, W. S., Rosenberg, W., & Haynes, R. B. (1996). Evidence- Based Medicine: How to Practice and Teach EBM. Churchill Livingstone.

Septiyani, S. N. D., & Sulistiadi, W. (2022). Penerapan sistem informasi manajemen rumah sakit (simrs) dengan menggunakan metode hot-fit: systematic REVIEW. JKESMASJ.Kesehat.Masy, 8(2),136 http://dx.doi.org/10.35329/jkesmas.v8i2.3706

Setyawan, D. (2016). Analisis Implementasi Pemanfaatan Sistem Informasi Manajemen Rumah Sakit (Simrs) Pada Rsud Kardinah Tegal. IJCIT

(Indonesian Journal on ComputerandInformationTechnology), 1(2) https://doi.org/10.31294/ijcit.v1i2.1503

Zandieh, S. O., Yoon-Flannery, K., Kuperman, G. J., Langsam, D. J., Hyman, D., & Kaushal, R. (2008). Challenges to EHR implementation in electronic-versus paper- based office practices. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 23(6), 755-761. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-008-0573-5