

WEB-BASED SOCIAL LEARNING AS A DIGITAL INNOVATION TO FOSTER ANALYTICAL THINKING AMONG YOUTH

Nana Tri Sutisna¹, Triana Rejekiningsih², Moch. Muchtarom³

^{1, 2, 3} Universitas Sebelas Maret (Indonesia)

email: nanasutisna@student.uns.ac.id, [triana_rizq@staff.uns.ac.id](mailto: triana_rizq@staff.uns.ac.id),
 [muhtarom1974@staff.uns.ac.id](mailto: muhtarom1974@staff.uns.ac.id)

Abstract

The rapid expansion of digital technology has reshaped learning environments for youth, particularly in social learning contexts that require analytical engagement with real-world phenomena. However, many digital learning initiatives remain focused on content delivery and learning outcomes, with limited attention to how learning environments are designed to support analytical thinking processes. This study aims to describe the design and implementation of a web-based social learning environment developed to support youths' analytical thinking through structured social research activities.

This study employed a Research and Development (R&D) approach, following a multimedia development model consisting of planning, design, and development stages. The web-based learning environment was implemented in several senior high schools in Surakarta, Indonesia. Data were collected through observations, analysis of learning artifacts, system activity logs, reflective responses, and interviews. Rather than measuring learning effectiveness statistically, the analysis focused on describing patterns of engagement, learning processes, and design features that mediated analytical thinking.

The findings indicate that the web-based social learning environment functioned as a structured learning space that guided youth through sequential social research steps, including problem identification, data organization, and conclusion formulation. Although the application did not provide online discussion features, analytical engagement was facilitated through guiding questions, structured research tasks, and reflective activities embedded in the system. Analysis of learning artifacts revealed observable tendencies in which participants articulated causal relationships and connected data to social phenomena in a systematic manner.

This study highlights the importance of instructional design in digital social learning innovations. The findings suggest that web-based social learning environments can support analytical thinking processes among youth through structured activities and cognitive scaffolding, even in the absence of direct online interaction. This paper contributes to the literature by offering a design-oriented perspective on digital innovation in social learning contexts.

Keywords: web-based social learning; analytical thinking; youth; social research learning; digital learning design

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of digital technology has fundamentally transformed learning environments, particularly for younger generations who increasingly rely on web-based platforms to access information and engage with social issues. Empirical studies indicate that digital media has become a primary learning resource for youth, influencing not only the ways they acquire information but also how knowledge is processed and discussed (Redecker, 2017; OECD, 2021). However, increased access to digital learning resources does not automatically result in meaningful cognitive engagement. Unstructured digital learning environments tend to promote superficial

understanding, fragmented knowledge, and limited opportunities for young learners to develop reflective thinking skills (Kirschner & De Bruyckere, 2017; Wineburg et al., 2016).

This challenge becomes particularly evident in the context of social learning. Learning related to social issues requires young people to interpret information critically, examine multiple perspectives, and construct rational, evidence-based arguments. Nonetheless, various studies in social education demonstrate that youth often struggle to move beyond memorization and description toward higher-order analytical thinking, especially when learning activities emphasize content consumption rather than inquiry and discussion processes (Facione, 2015; Schraw et al., 2011). This situation is common among youth exposed to abundant digital information but receiving limited guidance in systematically structuring their thought processes (OECD, 2019).

Analytical thinking is a core component of higher-order thinking skills, which are essential in 21st-century education. Analytical thinking is defined as the ability to deconstruct problems into relevant components, identify logical and structured relationships among parts, and evaluate information to develop a deeper understanding (Art-in, 2012; Anderson & Krathwohl, 2010). Research indicates that mastery of analytical thinking provides significant benefits for young people in both academic and social contexts, including problem-solving, data-driven decision-making, and the cultivation of a scientific mindset (Jakus & Zubcic, 2014; Paul & Elder, 2014).

Despite this, empirical evidence suggests that the analytical thinking skills of Indonesian youth remain suboptimal. The 2018 Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) ranked Indonesia 74th out of 79 countries in reading literacy, reflecting the limited ability of youth to understand, interpret, and analyze information (OECD, 2019). Sartika, Efendi, and Rocmah (2020) reported that only approximately 5% of secondary school students achieved an adequate level of analytical competence, while the majority remained at the level of factual knowledge and memorization.

This condition is also evident in the local context of Surakarta. Preliminary observations conducted in May 2024 among secondary school students indicated that only 14.2% of respondents were able to complete social analysis tasks accurately and comprehensively. Most students could only state facts without explaining cause-and-effect relationships and were unable to complete the analytical process thoroughly. Interviews with educators revealed that learning was still dominated by lectures and general discussions with textbooks as the primary resource, and digital learning media that could facilitate structured social data analysis were scarce.

These challenges become more complex when considering the characteristics of Generation Z as part of the youth population. This generation is known for high technological adaptability and openness to global diversity (Lubis & Mulianingsih,

2019; Jenkins, 2017). However, several studies indicate that the habit of consuming instant information and short-form content may reduce the perseverance of young learners in solving complex problems that require reflective and in-depth thinking (Frimadhina & Krisnani, 2020; Tulgan, 2013).

Various studies emphasize that the effectiveness of technology-enhanced learning is more determined by instructional design than by the technology itself (Clark & Mayer, 2016; Laurillard, 2012). In this context, web-based social learning emerges as a promising approach, as it integrates digital accessibility with structured social interaction. Research shows that web-based social learning platforms can support youth in exchanging ideas, engaging in discussions, and reflecting on social phenomena when designed systematically (Johnson & Johnson, 2009; Stahl et al., 2014).

Therefore, this article focuses on the design and implementation of web-based social learning as an innovative instructional approach aimed at enhancing analytical thinking skills among youth. By emphasizing design and implementation experiences, this study aims to contribute conceptually to the development of digital innovation in social learning.

2. METHODOLOGY

This study employed a design-oriented and implementation-focused Research and Development (R&D) approach. This approach was selected because it is methodologically appropriate for examining the process of designing and implementing digital learning innovations in real-world contexts (Borg & Gall, 2003; Reeves, 2006). In educational research, design-based approaches are considered effective for understanding how an innovation is developed, used, and experienced by users, as well as how its design characteristics facilitate specific learning processes (McKenney & Reeves, 2012). Therefore, this study focuses on an analytical description of the development and implementation of web-based social learning as a digital innovation aimed at supporting the analytical thinking skills of youth.

The development process of web-based social learning followed the multimedia development model proposed by Alessi and Trollip (2001), which comprises the planning, design, and development stages. During the planning stage, the researchers conducted classroom observations, interviews with teachers, analysis of instructional documents, and collection of learning needs data to understand the context of Sociology instruction and the characteristics of youth as primary users. The design stage focused on structuring navigation, learning flows, and digital social research activities aligned with analytical thinking indicators (Anderson & Krathwohl, 2010) and multimedia learning principles (Mayer, 2009). Subsequently, the development stage produced a web-based learning application that integrated instructional materials, structured social research activities, and elements of social interaction.

The study was conducted in several senior high schools in Surakarta from April 2024 to April 2025. This context was chosen due to the diversity of socio-cultural phenomena relevant as sources for Sociology learning. Research participants included secondary school students, Sociology teachers, as well as content and media experts involved in the validation and implementation of the product. Implementation of the web-based social learning occurred within regular classroom instruction, with teachers acting as facilitators to guide discussion and reflection, as recommended in social and inquiry-based learning approaches (Bandura, 1977; Vygotsky, 1978; Johnson & Johnson, 2009). The implementation was positioned as an authentic application of the learning innovation, rather than as an experimental treatment.

Data were collected through observations of platform usage, interviews, user feedback questionnaires, and analysis of documentation, including discussion outcomes and students' reflections. The data were analyzed using descriptive qualitative methods to identify patterns of interaction, engagement, and characteristics of learning activities that support analytical thinking processes (Goodyear & Retalis, 2010). The analysis focused on understanding how the design and implementation of web-based social learning facilitate engagement in analytical thinking within social learning contexts. All research procedures adhered to educational research ethics, including participant consent and data confidentiality (Creswell, 2014).

3. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Findings

3.1.1 Implementation of Web-Based Learning Environment

The implementation of web-based social learning was carried out in senior high schools in Surakarta through the utilization of a web-based learning environment designed to support Sociology learning grounded in social research. This learning environment served as a digital learning space integrating instructional content, social research activities, and analytical thinking guidance within a structured online system. Classroom observations indicated that youth accessed this environment using personal devices, primarily smartphones and laptops, both during scheduled lessons and independently outside class hours.

Youth activity flow in web-based social learning followed predetermined stages, beginning with exploration of social issues, understanding social research concepts, and proceeding to guided research activities. Activity logs revealed that the most frequently accessed features included modules on social problems, social research stages, and data analysis templates. These features functioned as digital learning supports, helping participants follow a systematic scientific thinking process, as recommended in technology-enhanced instructional design (Laurillard, 2012; Clark & Mayer, 2016).

Observations also indicated that web-based social learning did not replace the role of educators but rather served as a supportive environment facilitating learning interactions. Educators acted as facilitators who monitored the process and provided conceptual guidance, while youth engaged with learning activities through the system-provided structure. This implementation pattern aligns with the view that the effectiveness of digital learning is more influenced by interaction design and learning flow than by the technology itself (Goodyear & Retalis, 2010; Dede, 2014).

3.1.2 Pattern of Youth Engagement and Intercation

Analysis of discussion documentation, observation notes, and reflection outputs revealed recurring patterns of social engagement within the web-based social learning environment. Interactions tended to occur when learning activities were structured with guiding questions and clearly defined social research tasks. Discussions generally focused on clarifying social issues, comparing data findings, and exchanging initial perspectives on observed phenomena.

Collaborative patterns emerged through role distribution in data collection and small-group discussions, conducted both synchronously and asynchronously. Observation records showed uneven engagement; some youth participated frequently in discussions, while others contributed minimally, often providing brief responses to guiding questions. These patterns indicate that the activity structure and interaction design significantly influence the forms of social engagement (Johnson & Johnson, 2009; Stahl et al., 2014).

Written reflections by youth demonstrated a tendency to reconstruct empirical findings and relate them to Sociology concepts. Although the depth of reflection varied, recurring patterns showed that youth began comparing field data with conceptual knowledge acquired. These findings support previous research highlighting the importance of structured reflection and dialogue in web-based social learning (Hmelo-Silver et al., 2015; Schraw et al., 2011).

3.1.3 Analytical Thinking Processes Observed

Analysis of task outputs, reflection narratives, and responses to guiding questions revealed the manifestation of analytical thinking processes during web-based social learning. Youth generally demonstrated the ability to identify contextual social problems, particularly when issues were presented through case studies closely related to their social realities. Problem identification often began with a description of the phenomenon before evolving into a more focused problem formulation.

In the process of connecting data, instructional documents showed that youth began integrating information from multiple sources, such as observations, simple interviews, and supporting documents. Analysis of learning products indicated an ability to recognize basic cause-and-effect relationships and specific social patterns, although the depth of analysis varied among individuals. Responses to guiding questions played a critical role in helping youth organize and structure data-driven arguments (Facione, 2015; Wineburg et al., 2016).

At the conclusion stage, some youth were able to formulate conclusions based on collected data, although a tendency for descriptive conclusions persisted. Nevertheless, document analysis revealed observable tendencies to state social implications and simple causal relationships. This pattern suggests that web-based social learning facilitates analytical thinking gradually through interaction with structured tasks (OECD, 2019; Schraw et al., 2011).

3.1.4 Design Features Supporting Analytical Engagement

Several design features of web-based social learning consistently supported youth analytical engagement. Guiding questions served as a key element that helped participants focus on important aspects of the social phenomena under investigation. These questions encouraged participants not only to provide factual responses but also to compare data and consider multiple possible explanations, as recommended in inquiry-based learning approaches (Bransford et al., 2000; Hmelo-Silver et al., 2007).

In addition, the sequentially structured social research stages functioned as a cognitive framework facilitating analytical reasoning. This structure helped youth follow a scientific thinking process without having to independently determine each step from the outset, thereby reducing cognitive load and enabling a focus on data analysis (Sweller et al., 2011; Belland et al., 2015). Discussion prompts and data analysis templates further assisted participants in organizing information and presenting arguments systematically.

These findings underscore that analytical engagement in web-based social learning is not determined solely by the presence of technology, but by how learning features are designed to facilitate social interaction, reflection, and evidence-based reasoning. This reinforces the view that digital innovations in social learning should emphasize instructional design that supports higher-order thinking processes (Clark & Mayer, 2016; Laurillard, 2012).

3.2 Discussion

3.2.1 Web-Based Social Learning sebagai Lingkungan Mediasi Kognitif

The findings indicate that web-based social learning functions as a mediating environment bridging interactions among youth, Sociology content, and social research processes. This environment not only provides instructional materials but also guides how youth interact with information and social phenomena through structured activity sequences. This aligns with Vygotsky's (1978) perspective that effective learning occurs through mediation by tools and social interactions, where technology can serve as a cognitive tool.

In this context, web-based social learning acts as a learning space that systematically frames social learning experiences. The structured social research stages embedded in the system help youth understand the flow of scientific thinking without having to independently determine analytical strategies from the outset. These findings support Laurillard's (2012) argument that pedagogical design in digital

environments is more determinant of learning quality than the sophistication of the technology employed.

3.2.2 Proses Berpikir Analitis sebagai Praktik Bertahap

Document analysis revealed that youth's analytical thinking develops gradually rather than emerging instantaneously. Youth tended to begin with descriptive accounts of phenomena before progressing to identification of cause-and-effect relationships and drawing simple conclusions. This pattern aligns with Anderson and Krathwohl's (2001) view that analytical skills constitute a cognitive stage requiring sustained instructional support.

The role of guiding questions and data analysis templates in web-based social learning was significant in assisting youth to organize information and express evidence-based reasoning. These findings are consistent with studies by Facione (2015) and Wineburg et al. (2016), which emphasize that analytical skills develop through explicit practice in linking evidence to arguments, rather than through mere exposure to information.

3.2.3 Implikasi Desain bagi Inovasi Pembelajaran Sosial Digital

This discussion highlights that the core strength of web-based social learning lies in its instructional design, particularly the integration of social research stages, guiding questions, and reflective activities. These elements help reduce initial cognitive load and allow youth to focus on the process of social analysis (Sweller et al., 2011). Consequently, digital innovations in social learning should emphasize how the learning environment is designed to facilitate thinking processes, rather than merely digitizing content.

Furthermore, the findings underscore the importance of adapting the design of web-based social learning to the characteristics of youth accustomed to digital environments. Flexible, visual, and self-directed learning environments align better with their learning habits (Seemiller & Grace, 2016; OECD, 2021). However, such flexibility must be balanced with clear structure to ensure that learning maintains analytical direction.

3.2.4 Kontribusi terhadap Kajian Pembelajaran Sosial Digital

Unlike previous studies that largely emphasized learning effectiveness through statistical measurements, this discussion highlights how the learning process occurs within web-based social learning environments. By placing design and implementation at the forefront, this study contributes to understanding the role of digital learning environments in facilitating youth's analytical engagement in social learning.

Overall, the discussion demonstrates that web-based social learning can be conceptualized as a pedagogical innovation that shapes patterns of interaction, engagement, and analytical reasoning through a structured, research-oriented design. This approach opens opportunities for developing Sociology and social sciences education that is more contextual, reflective, and relevant to the challenges of 21st-century education.

4. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that web-based social learning can serve as a digital learning environment that facilitates youth's analytical thinking through structured, research-oriented activities. The developed application functions not as a discussion platform but as a learning tool guiding youth to identify social problems, organize simple data, and draw evidence-based conclusions systematically. These findings indicate that web-based social learning does not necessarily require direct online interaction to support analytical engagement.

The study also highlights the central role of instructional design in shaping digital learning experiences. Embedded social research stages, guiding questions, and reflective activities act as scaffolding mechanisms, enabling youth to develop analytical thinking gradually. Therefore, digital learning innovations in social learning contexts should prioritize the structure and flow of learning activities rather than merely adding technological features.

Furthermore, this research contributes to the field of digital social learning by emphasizing a design-oriented approach. Rather than focusing solely on quantitative measures of effectiveness, the study demonstrates how web-based social learning is implemented and experienced by youth in real educational contexts, offering a more contextual understanding of technology's role in mediating analytical thinking.

Despite these contributions, the study has limitations, particularly the lack of direct online interaction features and the limited scope of implementation. Future research is recommended to explore web-based social learning with varied levels of social interaction and to examine how different design variations affect analytical thinking across diverse contexts and educational levels.

REFERENCES

- Alessi, S. M., & Trollip, S. R. (2001). *Multimedia for learning: Methods and development* (3rd ed.). Allyn & Bacon.
- Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2010). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. Pearson Education.
- Art-in, S. (2012). Development of analytical thinking skills through inquiry-based learning. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46, 3339–3344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.062>
- Ashburn, E. A., & Floden, R. E. (2006). Meaningful learning using technology. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 45(4–5), 327–336. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2007.02.003>
- Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Prentice Hall.

- Borg, W. R., & Gall, M. D. (2003). *Educational research: An introduction* (7th ed.). Allyn & Bacon.
- Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2016). *E-learning and the science of instruction* (4th ed.). Wiley.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Dede, C. (2014). The role of digital technologies in deeper learning. *Students at the Center: Deeper Learning Research Series*. Jobs for the Future.
- Dillenbourg, P. (1999). What do you mean by collaborative learning? In P. Dillenbourg (Ed.), *Collaborative learning: Cognitive and computational approaches* (pp. 1–19). Elsevier.
- Facione, P. A. (2015). *Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts*. Insight Assessment.
- Farisi, M. I. (2016). Developing the 21st-century social studies skills through technology integration. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 17(1), 16–30. <https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.47374>
- Frimadhina, N., & Krisnani, H. (2020). Perilaku konsumsi media digital generasi Z. *Jurnal Penelitian & PPM*, 7(2), 199–206.
- Gay, L. R., Mills, G. E., & Airasian, P. W. (2012). *Educational research: Competencies for analysis and applications* (10th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Goodyear, P., & Retalis, S. (Eds.). (2010). *Technology-enhanced learning: Design patterns and pattern languages*. Sense Publishers.
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E., Duncan, R. G., & Chinn, C. A. (2015). Scaffolding and achievement in problem-based and inquiry learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 42(2), 99–107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520701263368>
- Jakus, J., & Zubcic, M. (2014). Analytic thinking as a key competence for lifelong learning. *International Journal of Teaching and Education*, 2(2), 1–9.
- Januszewski, A., & Molenda, M. (2008). *Educational technology: A definition with commentary*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). *An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning*. Educational Researcher.
- Jenkins, R. (2017). *Millennials and Generation Z unleashed*. HRD Press.
- Kirschner, P. A., & De Bruyckere, P. (2017). The myths of the digital native. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 67, 135–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.06.001>
- Kytle, J., & Millman, M. (1980). Developing analytical skills through social research. *Teaching Sociology*, 7(2), 169–181.
- Laurillard, D. (2012). *Teaching as a design science: Building pedagogical patterns for learning and technology*. Routledge.

- Lubis, M., & Mulianingsih, F. (2019). Karakteristik generasi Z dalam konteks pendidikan. *Jurnal Pendidikan*, 20(1), 20–30.
- Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- May, T., & Perry, B. (2022). *Social research: Issues, methods and process* (5th ed.). Open University Press.
- McKenney, S., & Reeves, T. C. (2012). *Conducting educational design research*. Routledge.
- Ninn, J., Kilburn, D., & Luff, P. (2015). Research-based learning in social science education. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*, 5, 29–40.
- OECD. (2019). *PISA 2018 results (Volume I): What students know and can do*. OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2021). *21st-century readers: Developing literacy skills in a digital world*. OECD Publishing.
- Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2014). *Critical thinking: Tools for taking charge of your professional and personal life* (2nd ed.). Pearson Education.
- Reeves, T. C. (2006). Design research from a technology perspective. In J. van den Akker et al. (Eds.), *Educational design research* (pp. 52–66). Routledge.
- Redecker, C. (2017). *European framework for the digital competence of educators: DigCompEdu*. Publications Office of the European Union.
- Sagala, S. (2010). *Konsep dan makna pembelajaran*. Alfabeta.
- Schön, D. A. (1983). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. Basic Books.
- Schraw, G., Crippen, K. J., & Hartley, K. (2011). Promoting self-regulation in science education. *Educational Psychology Review*, 18(2), 111–139.
- Stahl, G., Koschmann, T., & Suthers, D. (2014). Computer-supported collaborative learning. In R. K. Sawyer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences* (pp. 479–500). Cambridge University Press.
- Surya, M. (2011). *Psikologi pembelajaran dan pengajaran*. Pustaka Bani Quraisy.
- Susanti, E. (2012). Pengembangan kemampuan berpikir analitis siswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan*, 13(1), 38–45.
- Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st-century skills: Learning for life in our times*. Jossey-Bass.
- Tulgan, B. (2013). *Meet Generation Z: The second generation within the giant "Millennial" cohort*. RainmakerThinking.
- UNESCO. (2015). *Rethinking education: Towards a global common good?* UNESCO Publishing.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wineburg, S., McGrew, S., Breakstone, J., & Ortega, T. (2016). Evaluating information: The cornerstone of civic online reasoning. *Stanford Digital Repository*.